

A Topological Criterion for Starlikeness, Piecewise Convex and Piecewise α - Convex Functions

CHINTA MANI POKHREL
Nepal Engineering College

Abstract: In [3] C.N. Genter, St Ruscheweyh and L. C. Salinas introduced the concept of quasi - simple curve and have given a criterion for it. In this article we shall use the concept of quasi - simple curves to establish a topological criterion for starlike, piecewise convex and piecewise α - convex functions.

1. Introduction

Definition 1.1 Let C denote the entire complex plane. A positively oriented closed curve γ is said to be quasi - simple if it is the positively oriented boundary of a simply connected domain. An arc is said to be a quasi - simple if it is a subarc of a quasi - simple curve. For any closed curve or arc $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow C$, let $S_\gamma = \{\gamma(t) : a \leq t \leq b\}$, be its support in C .

Definition 1.2 Let γ be a positively oriented closed curve and ω_0 be any point in the complex plane C . We say that ω_0 is attainable with respect to γ from ∞ if there exist simply connected domain G such that $0 \in \partial G$, the function $f(z) = z^2 + \omega_0$ is univalent in G , and there exists a closed curve γ^* such that $S_{\gamma^*} \subseteq \bar{G}$ and $f(\gamma^*(t)) = \gamma(t); t \in [0, 2\pi]$.

In a More descriptive language we can say that ω_0 is attainable with respect to γ from ∞ if there exists a curve connecting ω_0 with ∞ which does not intersect the curve γ (it may, however, touch γ).

Let A_γ denote the set of all attainable points with respect to γ from ∞ . Clearly A_γ is the union of the closure of some of the connected components of S_γ^c , the complement of S_γ , including the unbounded component plus possibly certain segment of S_γ . Let D_γ is the simply connected domain bounded by the quasi - simple curve γ . Then $A_\gamma = D_\gamma^c$ and in particular

$$\gamma \text{ is quasi - simple} \Rightarrow S_\gamma \subseteq A_\gamma \quad (1)$$

Definition 1.3 An oriented closed curve $\gamma : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow C$ is said to be in the class C if it has the following properties :

C_1 : γ is piecewise smooth.

C_2 : γ is locally quasi - simple i.e. for each $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ there exists $\varepsilon(t) > 0$ such that the arc $\gamma_t := \gamma[t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon]$ is quasi - simple.

C_3 : for every $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ let G_t be a simply connected domain which has γ_t in its (positively oriented) boundary. Then there exists an open neighbourhood U of $z_t = \gamma(t)$ for which $(U \cup G_t) \cap A_\gamma = \Phi$.

C_4 : the function $\beta_t = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow t} \arg \dot{\gamma}(\tau)$, $t \in R$ satisfies $\beta(t + 2\pi) - \beta(t) = 2\pi$, $t \in R$

2. Statement and Proof of the Main Result

Theorem 2.1¹

Let f be a function holomorphic in the closed unit disk \bar{D} , except possibly at a finite number of points in ∂D , and continuous throughout \bar{D} , normalized by

¹ this theorem has been proved in [1], but here we have given a completely different and very short proof, as compared to the proof given in [1], by using the concept of quasi - simple curves introduced and defined in [3]

$f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1$. Let f be locally univalent on $D, f(z) \neq 0$ on ∂D , the curve $\gamma(\theta) = f(e^{i\theta}) \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf''}{f'} \right) \geq 0 \text{ on } \partial D \quad (2)$$

except on the set $\mathcal{M} = \{z \in \partial D : f'(z) = 0 \text{ or } f \text{ is not holomorphic at } z\}$.

Let the values of θ with $e^{i\theta} \in \mathcal{M}$ be $\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}, \theta_n$, where $\theta_0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \dots < \theta_{n-1} < \theta_n = \theta_0 + 2\pi$. Furthermore, let for any such point $e^{i\theta_j}$, if we measure the argument of the tangent to the curve $\{f(e^{i\theta}) : 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}$ from a point $f(e^{i\tilde{\theta}})$ where $\tilde{\theta} \in [\theta_j, \theta_{j+1}]$ and $\tilde{\theta}$ close to θ_j , there exist $k_j \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_j, \beta_j \in [0, \pi)$ such that

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_j^+} \arg [ie^{i\theta} f'(e^{i\theta})] = \arg f'(e^{i\theta_j}) + 2\pi k_j + \alpha_j \quad (3)$$

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_j^-} \arg [ie^{i\theta} f'(e^{i\theta})] = \arg f'(e^{i\theta_j}) + (2\pi k_j + 1)\pi - \beta_j \quad (4)$$

then f is starlike.

Proof: Since the curve $\gamma(\theta) = f(e^{i\theta}) \in \mathbb{C}$ we first show that each arc $\gamma_j = f(e^{i\theta}), \theta_j \leq \theta \leq \theta_{j+1}$ is quasi-simple and the points $f(e^{i\theta_j})$ are attainable with respect to γ from ∞ which guarantees the univalence of the function f on D [3]

If \mathcal{M} has no element then we are back in the classical case and the function f is in fact convex and hence starlike so nothing has to be proved. Now suppose that \mathcal{M} has at least one element. It is easily seen that the quasi-simple property of the arc γ_j can not be destroyed by a negative loop, since this would mean that \arg of the tangent at γ_j decreases over a certain interval but this has been ruled out by (2). The other way to destroy the quasi-simple property, namely a positive loop, can not occur either as one can readily verify by using the construction in [3] that maps the situation on to D preserving the loops (and their orientation). So we see that any positive loop on one of γ_j would increase the total tangent rotation by 2π , but there are no negative loops available to compensate for that. Since $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ and hence condition C_4 limits this total rotation to the minimal value of 2π , so there is no room for positive loops, and the γ_j must be quasi-simple.

To show that the points $f(e^{i\theta_j})$ are attainable with respect to $\gamma = f(e^{i\theta})$ from the ∞ we first note that (3) and (4) implies that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\arg f(e^{i\theta})$ increases on the intervals $[\theta_{j-1} - \delta, \theta_j]$ and $[\theta_j, \theta_{j+1} + \delta]$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$. See [1] for detail. And we already have shown that there is no rooms for loops so it is clear that the straight line emanating from the point $f(e^{i\theta_j})$ goes to ∞ without intersecting the curve γ . Hence the point $f(e^{i\theta_j})$ are attainable with respect to γ from the ∞ . Therefore the curve $\gamma = f(e^{i\theta})$ is quasi-simple and hence [3] the function f is univalent.

To show that f is starlike it remains to show that $\arg f(e^{i\theta})$ is increasing and the total change in argument of $f(e^{i\theta})$ as θ varies from 0 to 2π is 2π . The last assertion is clear because $f(0) = 0$, f is univalent on D and f has no zeros on ∂D , so by argument principle $\Delta_{C=e^{i\theta}} f(e^{i\theta}) = 2\pi$.

To show that $\arg f(e^{i\theta})$ is increasing it suffices to show that it is increasing on $[\theta_0, \theta_1]$. We know already that $\arg f(e^{i\theta})$ is increasing on $[\theta_0, \theta_0 + \delta]$ and on $[\theta_1 - \delta, \theta_1]$ for some $\delta > 0$. Hence it remains to show that $\arg f(e^{i\theta})$ is increasing on $[\theta_0 + \delta, \theta_1 - \delta]$. But if $\arg f(e^{i\theta})$ is strictly decreasing on certain interval of $[\theta_0 + \delta, \theta_1 - \delta]$ then either there should be at least one loop (positive) on γ or arguments of the tangent should decrease over certain subinterval of $\gamma = f(e^{i\theta})$, $\theta_0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_1$. But both possibilities are ruled out so $\arg f(e^{i\theta})$ is increasing for $\theta_0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_1$ and hence on whole γ . This completes the proof.

3. Topological Criterion For Piecewise Convex And Piecewise α -Convex Functions

Definition 3.1 A quasi-simple curve γ in C is said to be n -piecewise convex curve if there are n points $z_k = \gamma(t_k)$ on S_γ with $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n < t_{n+1} = t_1 + 2\pi$ such that the function

$$\beta(t) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow t^+} \arg \dot{\gamma}(\tau)$$

is increasing on $S_{\gamma_k} = \gamma([t_k, t_{k+1}])$. In other words the quasi-simple curve γ is n -piecewise convex if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z f''}{f'} \right) \geq 0$$

is increasing on $S_{\gamma_k} = \gamma([t_k, t_{k+1}])$ and the corresponding normalized univalent function f which maps the unit disk D conformally on to the simply connected domain D_γ is called the n -piecewise convex function.

Definition 3.2 Let γ be a quasi-simple curve in C and $\omega \in S_\gamma$. Then the curve γ is said to be n piecewise α -convex ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$) curve if there are n -points $z_k = \gamma(t_k)$ on S_γ with $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n < t_{n+1} := t_1 + 2\pi$ such that

$$(1 - \alpha) \arg(\gamma(t - \omega)) + \alpha\beta(t)$$

is increasing on $S_{\gamma_k} = \gamma([t_k, t_{k+1}])$, where

$$\beta(t) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow t} \arg \dot{\gamma}(t)$$

and the corresponding normalized univalent function f which maps the unit disk D conformally on to the simply connected domain D_γ is called the n -piecewise α -convex function.

Theorem 3.1 Let f be a function holomorphic in \bar{D} , except possibly at a finite number of points on ∂D , and continuous throughout \bar{D} , with the normalization $f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1$. Suppose f is locally univalent on $D, f(z) \neq 0$ on ∂D , and the curve $\gamma(\theta) = f(e^{i\theta}) \in C$. Let

$$M = \{z \in \partial D : f'(z) = 0 \text{ or } f \text{ is not holomorphic at } z\}.$$

and the values of θ such that $e^{i\theta} \in M$ be $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}, \theta_n$, where $\theta_0 < \theta_1 < \dots < \theta_{n-1} < \theta_n := \theta_0 + 2\pi$. Then the curve $\gamma = f(e^{i\theta})$ is n -piecewise convex curve and the function f is n -piecewise convex function if

$$Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''}{f'} \right) \geq 0 \text{ on } \partial D \setminus M. \quad (5)$$

Proof: Since the curve $\gamma(\theta) = f(e^{i\theta}) \in C$. Therefore from [3], to prove the theorem it suffices to show that each arc $\gamma_j = f(e^{i\theta}), \theta_j \leq \theta \leq \theta_{j+1}$ is quasi-simple and the points $z_j = f(e^{i\theta_j})$ are attainable with respect to γ from ∞ .

Suppose \mathcal{M} has n elements. If $n = 1$ then the result is obvious. Now suppose $n > 1$. From (5) it is clear that neither any single arc γ_k nor any arc of the form $(\gamma_k \cup \gamma_j)$, the union of any two arcs γ_k and γ_j , with $j \neq k$ can offer a negative loop otherwise the function $\beta(t)$ is strictly decreasing on certain subinterval of either γ_j or γ_k , which contradicts the condition (5). Hence there is no room for negative loop on the whole curve γ at all. The other possibility to destroy the quasi-simple property of the arc γ_k is by forming a positive loop on it. But any positive loop on γ_k would increase the total tangent rotation by 2π , but there are no negative loop available to compensate for that. As $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$, so the condition C_4 limits this total rotation to the minimal value of 2π . Therefore there is no room for a positive loop on the arc γ_k . Hence each arc γ_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are quasi - simple.

It remains to show that the points $f(e^{i\theta})$ are attainable with respect to γ from the ∞ . But by using the more or less same arguments we can prove it. We already know that there is no room for negative loop on whole γ so the only possibility to destroy the attainable property of the points $f(e^{i\theta})$ is by a positive loop formed on an arc of the form $(\gamma_k \cup \gamma_j)$, the union of any two arcs γ_k and γ_j , with $j \neq k$. But again any positive loop on $(\gamma_k \cup \gamma_j)$ would also increase the total tangent rotation by 2π , but there are no negative loop available to compensate for that. And since $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$ so the condition C_4 again limits this total rotation to the minimal value of 2π . Therefore there is no room for a positive loop on the arc $(\gamma_k \cup \gamma_j)$, with $j \neq k$ too. Therefore the points $f(e^{i\theta})$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are all attainable with respect the curve γ from ∞ . Hence by definition γ is a n -piecewise convex curve and the function f is n -piecewise convex function.

By using more or less same techniques and arguments one can easily proved the following theorem on n -piecewise α .

Theorem 3.2 Let f be a function holomorphic in \bar{D} , except possibly at a finite number of points on ∂D , and continuous throughout \bar{D} , with the normalization $f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1$. Suppose f is locally univalent on $D, f(z) \neq 0$ on ∂D , the curve $\gamma(\theta) = f(e^{i\theta}) \in \mathcal{C}$. Let

$$\mathcal{M} = \{z \in \partial D : f'(z) = 0 \text{ or } f \text{ is not holomorphic at } z\}.$$

and the values of θ such that $e^{i\theta} \in \mathcal{M}$ be $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}, \theta_n$, where $\theta_0 < \theta_1 < \dots < \theta_{n-1} < \theta_n := \theta_0 + 2\pi$. Then the curve $\gamma = f(e^{i\theta})$ is n -piecewise α -convex curve and the function f is n -piecewise α -convex function if

$$(1 - \alpha) \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf''}{f'(z)} \right) + \alpha \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf'''}{f''} \right) \geq 0 \text{ on } \partial D \setminus \mathcal{M} \quad (6)$$

Proof: Since the curve $\gamma(\theta) = f(e^{i\theta}) \in \mathcal{C}$. Therefore from [3], to prove the theorem it suffices to show that each arc $\gamma_j = f(e^{i\theta})$, $\theta_j \leq \theta \leq \theta_{j+1}$ is quasi-simple and the points $z_j = f(e^{i\theta_j})$ are attainable with respect to γ from ∞ . Suppose \mathcal{M} has n element. If $n = 1$ then the result is obvious. Now suppose $n > 1$. From (6) it is clear that neither any single arc γ_k nor any arc of the form $(\gamma_k \cup \gamma_j)$, the union of any two arcs γ_k and γ_j , with $j \neq k$ can offer a negative loop otherwise the function $k(t)$ is strictly decreasing on certain subinterval of either γ_j or γ_k ; which contradicts the condition (6). Hence there is no room for negative loop on the whole curve γ at all. The other possibility to destroy the q-s property of the arc γ_k is by forming a positive loop on it. But any positive loop on γ_k would increase the total tangent rotation by 2π , but there are no negative loop available to compensate for that. And condition C_4 limits this total rotation to the minimal value of 2π . Therefore there is no room for a positive loop on the arc γ_k . Hence each arc γ_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are q-s.

It remains to show that the points $f(e^{i\theta_k})$ are attainable with respect to γ from the ∞ . But by using the more or less same arguments we can prove it. We already know that there is no room for negative loop on whole γ so the only possibility to destroy the attainable property of the points $f(e^{i\theta_k})$ is by a positive loop formed on an arc of the form $(\gamma_k \cup \gamma_j)$, the union of any two arcs γ_k and γ_j , with $j \neq k$. But again any positive loop on $(\gamma_k \cup \gamma_j)$ would also increase the total tangent rotation by 2π , but there are no negative loop available to compensate for that. And

condition C_4 again limits this total rotation to the minimal value of 2π . Therefore there is no room for a positive loop on the arc $(\gamma_k \cup \gamma_j)$, with $j \neq k$ too. Therefore the points $f(e^{i\theta_k})$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are all attainable with respect the curve γ from ∞ . Hence by definition γ is a n -piecewise α -convex curve and the function f is n -piecewise α convex function.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.P. Acharya A criterion for starlikeness, *J. Anal* **7** (1999), 147-153.
- [2] S.D. Bernardi Convex, starlike, and level curves, *Duke Math. J.* **28** (1961), 57-72.
- [3] C.N. Genthner, St. Ruscheweyh, and L.C. Salinas A criterion for quasi-simple plane curves, *Computatinal Method and Function Theory Volume X(20XX) No. X*, 1-11.
- [4] S.S. Miller, P.T. Mocanu, and M.O. Reade Bazilevič functions and generalized convexity, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.* **17** (1974), 213-224.

□ □ □

Abstract: T
dimensional
- Stratum Co
and Subcutan
layer that aff
position depen
environment is
CAS software
numerical resul

Key words: Hu

2000 AMS subj

1. INTRODUC

The skin is a co
structures in the s
result. Skin form
percentage of an a
complex process
conduction, conve