

## ABSOLUTE SUMMABILITY FOR N-TUPLED TRIANGLE MATRICES ON SEQUENCE SPACE

ALKA MUNJAL

*Department of Mathematics, Akal University Talwandi Sabo-151302, Bathinda, India*  
*Email: [alka\\_math@auts.ac.in](mailto:alka_math@auts.ac.in)*

**Abstract:** In this paper, we determine that every  $n$ -tupled generalized Cesàro matrices  $(C, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n; \delta) \in B(A_k^n; \delta)$  for  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n > -1$ , need not be absolute  $k^{\text{th}}$  power conservative since the Cesàro matrices of order  $\alpha$  for  $\alpha > -1$  are not conservative matrices, where for some given  $k \geq 1$  and  $\delta \geq 0$ , if  $T \in B(\mathcal{A}_k, \delta)$ ; i.e., if  $\{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n\}$  satisfying

$$(0.1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k + k - 1} |s_n - s_{n-1}|^k < \infty,$$

implies 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k + k - 1} |t_n - t_{n-1}|^k < \infty.$$

Then,  $T$  is said to be absolutely  $k^{\text{th}}$  power conservative.

**Key Words:** Absolute Summability,  $n$ -tupled sequence space, Bounded Operators, Absolute  $k^{\text{th}}$  power conservative.

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classification.** 40C05, 40F05, 40D20, 40G05.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  be a given infinite series such that

$$(1.1) \quad s_k = a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k = \sum_{l=0}^k a_l,$$

where  $s_k$  denotes the  $k^{\text{th}}$  partial sum of the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  and  $\{s_n\}$  define the sequence of partial sums. Then the  $n^{\text{th}}$  term of sequence-to-sequence transformation of  $\{s_n\}$  is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad t_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_{nk} s_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_{n, n-k} s_{n-k}.$$

The sequence  $\{t_n\}$  of the matrix means of the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is generated by the sequence of the coefficients  $\{t_{nk}\}$ . A sequence of the partial sums  $\{s_n\} = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n\}$  is of bounded

variation if the series  $|s_1 - s_0| + |s_2 - s_1| + \dots + |s_n - s_{n-1}|$  converges or

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_n |\Delta s_n| < \infty.$$

The infinite series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  with the sequence of the partial sum  $\{s_n\}$  is absolute summable by the method A (A-summable) to the limit  $s$  if it is A-summable to  $s$ , i.e. if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = s$  and if the sequence  $\{t_n\}$  is of bounded variation:

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_n |t_n - t_{n-1}| < \infty.$$

Let  $n^{th}$  term of transform for the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  with Cesàro matrix is  $t_n^\alpha$ . The infinite series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is absolutely  $|A|_k$ -summable of degree  $k \geq 1$ , if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |t_n - t_{n-1}|^k$  converges.

If this is the case, we can write  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \in |A|_k$ .

Das [2] defines the absolute conservation by transforming the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  into  $\{t_n\}$ . Let  $T$  represents sequence-to-sequence transformation. If, whenever  $\{s_n\}$  converges absolutely,  $\{t_n\}$  converges absolutely, then  $T$  is called absolutely conservative. If the absolute convergence of  $\{s_n\}$  implies absolute convergence of  $\{t_n\}$  to the same limit,  $T$  is called absolutely regular. If  $T \in B(\mathcal{A}_k^n)$  for some  $k \geq 1$ ; i.e., if  $\{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n\}$  satisfying

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |s_n - s_{n-1}|^k < \infty,$$

implies

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |t_n - t_{n-1}|^k < \infty.$$

Then,  $T$  is called absolutely  $k^{th}$  power conservative. Note that when  $k > 1$ , (1.6) does not necessarily imply the convergence of  $\{s_n\}$ . There exists a sequence space  $\mathcal{A}_k$  which is given by

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{A}_k = \left\{ \{s_n\} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |a_n|^k < \infty, a_n = s_n - s_{n-1} \right\}.$$

If  $\alpha = 0$  in the inclusion statement involving  $(C, \alpha)$  and  $(C, \beta)$ , then we obtain the fact that  $(C, \beta) \in B(\mathcal{A}_k)$  for each  $\beta > 0$ , where  $B(\mathcal{A}_k)$  denotes the algebra of all matrices that map  $\mathcal{A}_k$  to  $\mathcal{A}_k$ .

For some given  $k \geq 1$  and  $\delta \geq 0$ , if  $T \in B(\mathcal{A}_k, \delta)$ ; i.e., if  $\{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n\}$  satisfying

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k + k - 1} |s_n - s_{n-1}|^k < \infty,$$

implies 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k + k - 1} |t_n - t_{n-1}|^k < \infty.$$

Then,  $T$  is said to be absolutely  $k^{th}$  power conservative for the sequence space  $(\mathcal{A}_k, \delta)$  which is given by

$$(1.9) \quad (\mathcal{A}_k, \delta) = \left\{ \{s_n\} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k + k - 1} |a_n|^k < \infty, a_n = s_n - s_{n-1} \right\}$$

Many research articles [13]-[20] devoted to the study of summability of infinite series due to its wide range of applications. Various investigations have been done to determine the most important results on absolute summability factor of infinite series by using different summability methods. The absolute summability  $(C, \alpha)$ , or  $|C, \alpha|$  of a series was defined by Fekete [4], for the case where  $\alpha$  is an integer, and in the general case by Kogbetliantz [6]. Whittaker [12] defined the absolute summability (A) or summability  $|A|$  and was the first to investigate the summability  $|A|$  of a Fourier series. In 1957, Flett [5] obtained an extension of summability  $|C|$  and defined absolute summability. Mazhar [7] gave the necessary and sufficient conditions for the infinite series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  to be  $|\bar{N}, p_n|$  summable whenever it is  $|C, \alpha|_k$  ( $\alpha \geq 0, k \geq 1$ ) summable. Dikshit [3] also has been given a general theorem on absolute summability factors for Cesàro summability of infinite series and rectified the deficiencies of the proof. Bor [1] gave a theorem dealing with  $|\bar{N}, p_n|$  summability factors taking an almost increasing sequence of the infinite series and provide the application of the almost increasing sequences of infinite series. After this Sulaiman [11] gave the applications and generalization of the result of Bor [1]. The absolute Cesàro summability, the absolute generalized Cesàro summability, the absolute Nölund summability, the absolute Riesz summability, the absolute Euler summability etc. have been become a topic of great interest since last two decades. In 2009, Savaş et al.[10] used the concept of absolute conservation for Cesàro means which is generalization of the Das [2]. Savaş et al. [10] have proved the theorems which give sufficient conditions of infinite series using the absolute summability factors. After reviewing several articles, we have dealt with absolute summability of an infinite series and obtained some general results included the minimal set of sufficient condition for  $n$ -tupled Triangle matrices  $T \in B(\mathcal{A}_k^n)$ .

## 2. KNOWN RESULTS

In 2007, Savaş et al. proved that a Cesàro matrix of order  $\alpha > -1$  is a bounded operator on  $\mathcal{A}_k$  and in 2009, established a minimal set of sufficient conditions for a triangle  $T \in B(\mathcal{A}_k)$  as follows:

**Theorem 2.1.**  $(C, \alpha) \in B(\mathcal{A}_k)$  for each  $\alpha > -1$ .

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

Let  $T$  be the infinite matrix for the series  $\sum_{N_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{N_2=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{N_n=1}^{\infty} a_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n}$  and

$$\Delta_{11\dots n \text{ times}} t_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= t_{N_1-1, N_2-1, \dots, N_n-1}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} - \{t_{N_1, N_2-1, \dots, N_n-1}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} + \dots + t_{N_1-1, N_2-1, \dots, N_n}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}\} \\
&+ \{t_{N_1, N_2, N_3-1, \dots, N_n-1}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} + t_{N_1, N_2-1, N_3, N_4-1, \dots, N_n-1}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} + \dots\} \\
&- \{t_{N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4-1, \dots, N_n-1}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} + t_{N_1-1, N_2, N_3, N_4, N_5-1, \dots, N_n-1}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} + \dots\} \\
(3.1) \quad &+ \dots + (-1)^n t_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}
\end{aligned}$$

There exists two infinite matrices  $\bar{T}$  and  $\hat{T}$  with T as follows:

$$(3.2) \quad \bar{t}_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} = \sum_{\mu_1=i_1}^{N_1} \sum_{\mu_2=i_2}^{N_2} \dots \sum_{\mu_n=i_n}^{N_n} t_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n}^{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \hat{t}_{N_1-1, N_2-1, \dots, N_n-1}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} = \Delta_{11\dots n} \text{ times } \bar{t}_{N_1-1, N_2-1, \dots, N_n-1}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}$$

$$N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

In the present paper, we generalize theorem 2.1 for  $n$ -tupled triangle matrices. Now, we shall prove the following:

**Theorem 3.1.**  $(C, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n; \delta) \in B(A_k^n; \delta)$  for each  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n > -1$ ,  $k \geq 1$  and  $\delta \geq 0$ .

#### 4. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Let  $\sigma_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}$  denotes the  $N_1 N_2 \dots N_n$  term of the  $(C, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  transform for the order  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  in the sequence  $s_{N_1 N_2 \dots N_n}$ ; that is,

$$(4.1) \quad \sigma_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n} = \frac{1}{E_{N_1}^{\alpha_1} E_{N_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots E_{N_n}^{\alpha_n}} \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{N_2} \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^{N_n} E_{N_1-i_1}^{\alpha_1-1} E_{N_2-i_2}^{\alpha_2-1} \dots E_{N_n-i_n}^{\alpha_n-1} s_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$$

We shall show that  $(\sigma_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}) \in (\mathcal{A}_k^n, \delta)$ ; i.e.,

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{N_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{N_2=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{N_n=1}^{\infty} (N_1, N_2 \dots N_n)^{\delta k + k - 1} \left| \sigma_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n} - \sigma_{N_1-1, N_2-1, \dots, N_n-1}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n} \right|^k < \infty,$$

Let  $t_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}$  denote the  $N_1 N_2 \dots N_n$  term of the  $(C, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  transform in term of  $N_1 N_2 \dots N_n a_{N_1 N_2 \dots N_n}$ ; that is,

$$(4.3) \quad t_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n} = \frac{1}{E_{N_1}^{\alpha_1} E_{N_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots E_{N_n}^{\alpha_n}} \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{N_2} \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^{N_n} E_{N_1-i_1}^{\alpha_1-1} E_{N_2-i_2}^{\alpha_2-1} \dots E_{N_n-i_n}^{\alpha_n-1} \times$$

$$\times (i_1 i_2 \dots i_n a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n})$$

For  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n > -1$ ,

Since

$$(4.4) \quad t_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n} = N_1 N_2 \dots N_n \left[ \sigma_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n} - (\sigma_{N_1-1, N_2, \dots, N_n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n} + \sigma_{N_1, N_2-1, \dots, N_n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n} \right.$$

$$+ \dots + \sigma_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n-1}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n})$$

$$+ (\sigma_{N_1-1, N_2-1, N_3, \dots, N_n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n} + \sigma_{N_1-1, N_2, N_3-1, N_4, \dots, N_n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}$$

$$+ \sigma_{N_1-1, N_2, N_3, \dots, N_n-1}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}) - \dots + (-1)^n \sigma_{N_1-1, N_2-1, \dots, N_n-1}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n} \Big],$$

then condition (4.2) can also be written as,

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{N_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{N_2=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{N_n=1}^{\infty} (N_1, N_2 \dots N_n)^{\delta k-1} \left| t_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n} \right|^k < \infty.$$

Using Holder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{N_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{N_2=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{N_n=1}^{\infty} (N_1, N_2 \dots N_n)^{\delta k-1} \left| t_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n} \right|^k \\ &= \sum_{N_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{N_2=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{N_n=1}^{\infty} (N_1, N_2 \dots N_n)^{\delta k-1} \left| \frac{1}{E_{N_1}^{\alpha_1} E_{N_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots E_{N_n}^{\alpha_n}} \times \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{N_2} \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^{N_n} E_{N_1-i_1}^{\alpha_1-1} E_{N_2-i_2}^{\alpha_2-1} \dots E_{N_n-i_n}^{\alpha_n-1} (i_1 i_2 \dots i_n a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}) \right|^k \\ &\leq \sum_{N_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{N_2=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{N_n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(N_1, N_2 \dots N_n)^{\delta k-1}}{E_{N_1}^{\alpha_1} E_{N_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots E_{N_n}^{\alpha_n}} \times \\ & \quad \times \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{N_2} \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^{N_n} E_{N_1-i_1}^{\alpha_1-1} E_{N_2-i_2}^{\alpha_2-1} \dots E_{N_n-i_n}^{\alpha_n-1} (i_1 i_2 \dots i_n)^k |a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}|^k \times \\ (4.6) \quad & \times \left\{ \frac{1}{E_{N_1}^{\alpha_1} E_{N_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots E_{N_n}^{\alpha_n}} \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{N_2} \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^{N_n} E_{N_1-i_1}^{\alpha_1-1} E_{N_2-i_2}^{\alpha_2-1} \dots E_{N_n-i_n}^{\alpha_n-1} \right\}^{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

By using,

$$\frac{1}{E_{N_1}^{\alpha_1} E_{N_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots E_{N_n}^{\alpha_n}} \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{N_2} \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^{N_n} E_{N_1-i_1}^{\alpha_1-1} E_{N_2-i_2}^{\alpha_2-1} \dots E_{N_n-i_n}^{\alpha_n-1} = 1,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{N_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{N_2=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{N_n=1}^{\infty} (N_1, N_2 \dots N_n)^{\delta k-1} \left| t_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n} \right|^k \\ &\leq \sum_{N_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{N_2=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{N_n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(N_1, N_2 \dots N_n)^{\delta k-1}}{E_{N_1}^{\alpha_1} E_{N_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots E_{N_n}^{\alpha_n}} \times \\ & \quad \times \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{N_2} \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^{N_n} E_{N_1-i_1}^{\alpha_1-1} E_{N_2-i_2}^{\alpha_2-1} \dots E_{N_n-i_n}^{\alpha_n-1} (i_1 i_2 \dots i_n)^k |a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}|^k \\ &\leq \sum_{i_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^{\infty} (i_1 i_2 \dots i_n)^k |a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}|^k \times \\ & \quad \times \sum_{N_1=i_1}^{\infty} \sum_{N_2=i_2}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{N_n=i_n}^{\infty} \frac{E_{N_1-i_1}^{\alpha_1-1} E_{N_2-i_2}^{\alpha_2-1} \dots E_{N_n-i_n}^{\alpha_n-1}}{(N_1, N_2 \dots N_n)^{1-\delta k} E_{N_1}^{\alpha_1} E_{N_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots E_{N_n}^{\alpha_n}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{i_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_2=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^{\infty} (i_1 i_2 \dots i_n)^{\delta k+k} |a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}|^k \times \\ (4.7) \quad & \times \sum_{N_1=i_1}^{\infty} \sum_{N_2=i_2}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{N_n=i_n}^{\infty} \frac{E_{N_1-i_1}^{\alpha_1-1} E_{N_2-i_2}^{\alpha_2-1} \dots E_{N_n-i_n}^{\alpha_n-1}}{N_1 N_2 \dots N_n E_{N_1}^{\alpha_1} E_{N_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots E_{N_n}^{\alpha_n}} \end{aligned}$$

For  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n > -1$  and  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{N_2} \cdots \sum_{i_n=1}^{N_n} \frac{E_{N_1-i_1}^{\alpha_1-1} E_{N_2-i_2}^{\alpha_2-1} \cdots E_{N_n-i_n}^{\alpha_n-1}}{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n E_{N_1}^{\alpha_1} E_{N_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots E_{N_n}^{\alpha_n}} \\
(4.8) \quad &= \sum_{N_1=i_1}^{\infty} \frac{E_{N_1-i_1}^{\alpha_1-1}}{N_1 E_{N_1}^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{N_2=i_2}^{\infty} \frac{E_{N_2-i_2}^{\alpha_2-1}}{N_2 E_{N_2}^{\alpha_2}} \cdots \sum_{N_n=i_n}^{\infty} \frac{E_{N_n-i_n}^{\alpha_n-1}}{N_n E_{N_n}^{\alpha_n}}
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{N_n=i_n}^{\infty} \frac{E_{N_n-i_n}^{\alpha_n-1}}{N_n E_{N_n}^{\alpha_n}} = \sum_{r_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_{r_n}^{\alpha_n-1}}{(i_n + r_n) E_{i_n+r_n}^{\alpha_n}} = \sum_{r_n=0}^{\infty} E_{r_n}^{\alpha_n-1} B(i_n + r_n, \alpha_n + 1) \\
&= \sum_{r_n=0}^{\infty} E_{r_n}^{\alpha_n-1} \int_0^1 (1-x)^{\alpha_n} x^{i_n+r_n-1} dx = \int_0^1 (1-x)^{\alpha_n} x^{i_n-1} \left( E_{r_n}^{\alpha_n-1} x^{r_n} \right) dx \\
(4.9) \quad &= \int_0^1 x^{i_n-1} dx = \frac{1}{i_n}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{N_2} \cdots \sum_{i_n=1}^{N_n} \frac{E_{N_1-i_1}^{\alpha_1-1} E_{N_2-i_2}^{\alpha_2-1} \cdots E_{N_n-i_n}^{\alpha_n-1}}{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n E_{N_1}^{\alpha_1} E_{N_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots E_{N_n}^{\alpha_n}} \\
&= \frac{1}{i_n} \sum_{N_1=i_1}^{\infty} \frac{E_{N_1-i_1}^{\alpha_1-1}}{N_1 E_{N_1}^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{N_2=i_2}^{\infty} \frac{E_{N_2-i_2}^{\alpha_2-1}}{N_2 E_{N_2}^{\alpha_2}} \cdots \sum_{N_{n-1}=i_{n-1}}^{\infty} \frac{E_{N_{n-1}-i_{n-1}}^{\alpha_{n-1}-1}}{N_{n-1} E_{N_{n-1}}^{\alpha_{n-1}}} \\
(4.10) \quad &= \frac{1}{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} = (i_1 i_2 \dots i_n)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{N_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{N_2=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{N_n=1}^{\infty} (N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n)^{\delta k-1} |t_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{N_2} \cdots \sum_{i_n=1}^{N_n} (i_1 i_2 \dots i_n)^{\delta k+k} |a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}|^k \frac{1}{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} \\
(4.11) \quad &= O(1) \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{N_2} \cdots \sum_{i_n=1}^{N_n} (i_1 i_2 \dots i_n)^{\delta k+k-1} |a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}|^k = O(1),
\end{aligned}$$

since  $s_n \in (\mathcal{A}_k^r, \delta)$ . Hence proof of the theorem is complete.

## 5. COROLLARIES

**Corollary 5.1.**  $(C, 1, 1, \dots, n \text{ times}; \delta) \in B(\mathcal{A}_k^n; \delta)$ , with the condition

$$\begin{aligned} t_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n} &= (N_1 N_2 \dots N_n)^{\delta k - 1} \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{N_2} \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^{N_n} s_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} \\ &= (C, 1, 1, \dots, n \text{ times}; \delta)(s_{N_1 N_2 \dots N_n}). \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 5.2.**  $(C, 1, 1, \dots, n \text{ times}) \in B(\mathcal{A}_k^n)$ , with the condition

$$\begin{aligned} t_{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n} &= \frac{1}{N_1 N_2 \dots N_n} \sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{N_2} \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^{N_n} s_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} \\ &= (C, 1, 1, \dots, n \text{ times})(s_{N_1 N_2 \dots N_n}). \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 5.3.**  $(C, \alpha, 1, \dots, (n-1) \text{ times}) \in B(\mathcal{A}_k^n)$  with the condition

$$\sum_{N_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{N_2=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{N_n=1}^{\infty} (N_1)^{k-\alpha-1} (N_2 N_3 \dots N_n)^{k-1} |a_{N_1 N_2 \dots N_n}|^k = O(1).$$

**Corollary 5.4.** [9].  $(C, \alpha) \in B(\mathcal{A}_k)$  with the condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |a_n|^k = O(1).$$

## 6. CONCLUSION

The goal of this research is a theorem on Cesàro matrix of order  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n > -1$ . Based on the derivation, it can be concluded that our result is a generalized which can be reduced for several well known summabilities. Our theorem is validated by corollary 5.4, which is a result of Savaş and Şevli [9].

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bor, On a new application of Almost Increasing Sequences, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 53 (2011) 230-233.
- [2] G. Das, A Tauberian theorem for Absolute Summability, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 67 (1970) 321-326.
- [3] G. D. Dikshit, A note on Absolute Summability factors of Infinite Series, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 16(11) (1985) 1326-1340.
- [4] M. Fekete, On the absolute summability (A) of infinite series, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.*, 3 (2) (1933) 132-134.
- [5] T. M. Flett, On an extension of Absolute Summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 7 (1957) 113-141.

- [6] E. Kogbetliantz, Sur les series absolument sommables par la methode des moyennes arithmetiques, *Bull. des Sci. Math.*, 49 (2) (1925) 234-256.
- [7] S. M. Mazhar, On the Absolute Summability Factors of Infinite Series, *Tohoku Math. Journ.*, 23 (1971) 433-451.
- [8] E. Savaş, and B. E. Rhoades, A note on  $|A|_k$  summability factors of infinite series, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2007 Article ID 86095 (2007) 8 Pages.
- [9] E. Savaş, and H. Şevli, On extension of a result of Flett for Cesàro matrices, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 20 (2007) 476–478.
- [10] E. Savaş, H. Şevli, and B. E. Rhoades, Triangles which are bounded operators on  $A_k$ , *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, 32 (2) (2009) 223-231.
- [11] W. T. Sulaiman, On a new application of Almost Increasing Sequences, *Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 4 (3) (2012) 29-33.
- [12] J. M. Whittaker, The absolute summability of a Fourier series, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.*, 2 (2) (1930-31) 1-5.
- [13] L. N. Mishra, P. K. Das, P. Samanta, M. Misra, U. K. Misra, On indexed absolute matrix summability of an infinite series, *Applications and Applied Mathematics*, 13 (1) (2018) 274-285.
- [14] L. N. Mishra, D. Acharya, S. Sahu, P.C. Nayak, U. K. Misra, Indexed absolute summability factor of improper integrals, *Applications and Applied Mathematics*, 15 (1) (2020) 666-672.
- [15] L. N. Mishra, M. Patro, S. K. Paikray, B. B. Jena, A certain class of statistical deferred weighted  $\mathcal{A}$ -summability based on  $(p, q)$ -integers and associated approximation theorems, *Applications and Applied Mathematics*, 14 (2) (2019) 716-740.
- [16] V. N. Mishra, Some Problems on Approximations of Functions in Banach Spaces, Ph.D. Thesis (2007), *Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee* 247 667, Uttarakhand, India.
- [17] V. N. Mishra, L. N. Mishra, Trigonometric Approximation of Signals (Functions) in  $L_p$ -norm, *International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences*, 7 (19) (2012) 909-918.
- [18] L. N. Mishra, V. N. Mishra, K. Khatri, Deepmala, On The Trigonometric approximation of signals belonging to generalized weighted Lipschitz  $W(L^r, \xi(t))$  ( $r \geq 1$ ) – class by matrix  $(C^1.N_p)$  Operator of conjugate series of its Fourier series, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 237 (2014) 252-263.
- [19] V. N. Mishra, K. Khatri, L. N. Mishra, Deepmala, Trigonometric approximation of periodic Signals belonging to generalized weighted Lipschitz  $W'(L_r, \xi(t))$ , ( $r \geq 1$ ) – class by Nörlund-Euler  $(N, p_n)(E, q)$  operator of conjugate series of its Fourier series, *Journal of Classical Analysis*, 5(2) (2014) 91-105.
- [20] Deepmala, L. N. Mishra, V. N. Mishra, Trigonometric Approximation of Signals (Functions) belonging to the  $W(L_r, \xi(t))$ , ( $r \geq 1$ ) – class by  $(E, q)$  ( $q > 0$ )-means of the conjugate series of its Fourier series, GJMS Special Issue for Recent Advances in Mathematical Sciences and Applications-13, *Global Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 2 (2) (2014) 61–69.