

Common Fixed Point Theorem for Four Mappings in Dislocated Quasi-Metric Spaces

PROF. K. PANDURANGARAO¹ & P. RANGA SWAMY²

¹Department of Applied Mathematics
A.N.U.P.G. Centre
Nuzvid

Krishna District, Andhra Pradesh, India

²Assistant Professor in Mathematics
St. Ann's College of Engineering & Technology
Chirala, Prakasam (Dt.)
Andhra Pradesh, India
Email: puligeti@yahoo.co.in

Abstract: We have proved a common fixed point theorem for four mappings in dislocated metric spaces.

Keywords: dislocated quasi-metric, dq-limit, dq-convergent, dq-cauchy's sequence, fixed point, weakly compatible.

1. Introduction

B.E. Rhoades [2] established various definitions of contractive mappings. In 1992 Banach proved fixed point theorem for contraction mapping in complete metric space. In 1996 Jungck [5] introduced the concept of weakly compatible.

In 2005 F.M. Zeyada, G.H. Hassan, M.A. Ahmed [4] established various definitions of dislocated quasi-metric space. A Isufati [1] proved some fixed point theorems for a single and a pairs of mappings in dislocated metric space. In this paper we proved common fixed point theorem for four maps in dislocated quasi-metric space.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [4] Let X be a nonempty set and let $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying following conditions:

- (i) $d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0$, implies $x = y$,
- (ii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a dislocated quasi-metric on X .

If d satisfies $d(x,y) = d(y,x)$, then it is called dislocated metric.

Definition 2.2 [4] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in dq-metric space (dislocated quasi-metric space) (X,d) is called Cauchy sequences if for given $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\forall m,n \geq n_0$, implies $d(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon$ or $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$ i.e. $\min\{d(x_m, x_n), d(x_n, x_m)\} < \varepsilon$.

Definition 2.3 [4] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ dislocated quasi-converges to x if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n) = 0$$

In this case x is called a dq-limit of $\{x_n\}$ and we write $x_n \rightarrow x$.

Lemma: dq-limit in a dq-metric space are unique.

Definition 2.4 [4] A dq-metric space (X,d) is called complete if every Cauchy sequence in it is a dq-convergent.

Definition 2.5 [4] Let (X,d_1) and (Y,d_2) be dq-metric spaces and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then f is continuous to $x_0 \in X$, if for each sequence $\{x_n\}$ which is d_1 -q convergent to x_0 , the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ is d_2 -q convergent to $f(x_0)$ in Y .

Definition 2.6 [4] Let (X,d) be a dq-metric space. A map $T: X \rightarrow X$ is called contraction if there exists $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Definition 2.7 [9] Let A and S be mapping from a metric space (X,d) into itself. A and S are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points, that is, $Ax = Sx$ for some $x \in X$ implies that $ASx = Sax$.

Theorem 2.8 [4] Let (X,d) be a dq-metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous contraction mapping. Then T has unique fixed point.

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1 Let (X,d) be a complete dislocated metric space. Let $F, G, S, T: X \rightarrow X$ be continuous mapping satisfying:

- (i) $S(X) \subseteq G(X), T(X) \subseteq F(X)$ and $T(X)$ or $S(X)$ is a closed subset of X
- (ii) The pairs (S,F) and (T,G) are weakly compatible

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq h \max \left\{ d(Fx, Gy), d(Fx, Sx), d(Gy, Ty), \frac{d(Fx, Ty) + d(Gy, Sx)}{2} \right\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $0 < h < 1$. Then f, g, s and t have common fixed point.

Proof: Suppose x_0 is an arbitrary point of X and define the sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$Y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Gx_{2n+1}$$

$$Y_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+1} = Fx_{2n+2}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &= d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq h \max \left\{ d(Fx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}), d(Fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), d(Gx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), \frac{d(Fx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Gx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})}{2} \right\} \\ &= h \max \left\{ d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), \frac{d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})}{2} \right\} \\ d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &\leq hd(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \leq hd(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1})$$

$$\text{and so } d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq h^2 d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1})$$

In this way we have

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq h^n d(y_1, y_0)$$

Since $0 < h < 1$, as $h^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in a complete dislocated metric space X . There exists a point $u \in X$ such that $\{y_n\} \rightarrow u$.

Therefore the subsequences $\{Sx_{2n}\} \rightarrow u$, $\{Tx_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow u$, $\{Gx_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow u$ and $\{Fx_{2n+2}\} \rightarrow u$.

Assume that $G(X)$ is a closed subset of X . Then $\exists v \in X$ such that

$$Gv = u.$$

If $Tv \neq u$ then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(Sx_{2n}, Tv) \\
& \leq h \max \left\{ d(Fx_{2n}, Gv), d(Fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), d(Gv, Tv), \frac{d(Fx_{2n}, Tv) + d(Gv, Sx_{2n})}{2} \right\} \\
& = h \max \left\{ d(y_{2n-1}, Gv), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), d(Gv, Tv), \frac{d(y_{2n-1}, Tv) + d(Gv, y_{2n})}{2} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d(u, Tv) & \leq h \max \left\{ d(u, Gv), d(u, u), d(Gv, Tv), \frac{d(u, Tv) + d(Gv, u)}{2} \right\} \\
& < d(u, Tv)
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $Tv = u = Gv$. Since B and T are weakly compatible,

we have $TGv = GTv$ and so $Tu = Gu$.

If $u \neq Bu$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(Sx_{2n}, Tu) \\
& \leq h \max \left\{ d(Fx_{2n}, Gu), d(Fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), d(Gu, Tu), \frac{d(Fx_{2n}, Tu) + d(Gu, Sx_{2n})}{2} \right\} \\
& = h \max \left\{ d(y_{2n-1}, Gu), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), d(Gu, Tu), \frac{d(y_{2n-1}, Tu) + d(Gu, y_{2n})}{2} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d(u, Tu) & \leq h \max \left\{ d(u, Gu), d(u, u), d(Gv, Tu), \frac{d(u, Tu) + d(Gu, u)}{2} \right\} \\
& < d(u, Tu)
\end{aligned}$$

and so $Tu = u$

since $T(X) \subseteq F(X)$, $\exists w \in X$ such that $Fw = u$.

If $Sw \neq u$, we have

$$d(Sw, Tu) \leq h \max \left\{ d(Fw, Gu), d(Fw, Sw), d(Gu, Tu), \frac{d(Fw, Tu) + d(Gu, Sw)}{2} \right\}$$

And it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(Sw, u) & \leq h \max \left\{ d(Fw, u), d(Fw, Sw), d(u, Tu), \frac{d(Fw, Tu) + d(u, Sw)}{2} \right\} \\
& < d(Sw, u)
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $Sw = u$ and hence $Sw = Fw = u$.

Since S and F are weakly compatible

$SFw = FSw$ and so

$Su = Fu$.

If $Su \neq u$ then, we get

$d(Su, u) = d(Su, Tu)$

$$\leq h \max \left\{ d(Fu, u), d(Fu, Su), d(u, Tu), \frac{d(Fu, u) + d(u, Su)}{2} \right\}$$

$$= d(Su, u)$$

And so $Su = u$. Thus $Su = Tu = Fu = Gu = u$, that is u is a common fixed point for S, T, F, G .

Uniqueness of common fixed point:

Let u and v be a common fixed point of F, G, S and T . Then

$$d(u, v) \leq d(Su, Tv) \leq h \max \left\{ d(Fu, Gv), d(Fu, Su), d(Gv, Tv), \frac{d(Fu, Tv) + d(Gv, Su)}{2} \right\}$$

$$\leq h \max \left\{ d(u, v), d(u, u), d(v, v), \frac{d(u, v) + d(v, u)}{2} \right\}$$

$$\leq h \max \{ d(u, v), d(u, u), d(v, v), \}$$

Replacing v by u , we get $d(u, v) \leq d(u, u)$. Since $0 < h < 1$, we have $d(u, u) = 0$. Similarly we have $d(v, v) = 0$.

In this way we have $d(u, v) \leq d(u, v)$. Since $0 < h < 1$,

we have $d(u, v) = 0$.

Similarly, we have $d(v, u) = 0$ and so $u = v$.

Hence u is unique common fixed point of F, G, S and T .

Hence the proof is completed.

[1] A. Is
Mat

[2] B. E
Tran

[3] C. T.
Disl
2008

[4] F. M.
theor
Arab

[5] G. Ju
metri

[6] K. P. F
metri

[7] K. P. F
four n
Math.

[8] K. P. R
satisfy
Mathe

[9] S. Sed
in Cor
Vol. 3

REFERENCES

- [1]. A.Isufati, Fixed Point Theorems in Dislocated Quasi-Metric Space, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 4, 2010, no. 5, 217 – 223.
- [2]. B.E. Rhoades, A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, Trans. Amer. Soc. 226(1977), 257-290.
- [3]. C.T. Aage, J.N. Salunke, The Results on Fixed Point in Dislocated and Dislocated Quasi-Metric Space, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 2, 2008, no. 59, 2941-2948.
- [4]. F.M. Zeyada, G.H. Hassan, M.A. Ahmed, A generalization of a fixed point theorem due to Hitzler and Seda in dislocated quasi-metric spaces, The Arabian Journal Science and Engineering, Vol.31, Number iA, (2005).
- [5]. G. Jungck, Common fixed point for non-continuous non-self maps on non metric spaces, Far East J. Math. Sci. 4(2) (1996), 199-215.
- [6]. K.P.R. Rao, V.C.C. Raju and P. Ranga Swamy, Fixed point theorems in D-metric spaces, The Nepal Math.Sc. Report, Vol. 22, N0. 1, 2004.
- [7]. K.P.R. Rao, K.Jha and P. Ranga Swamy, Common fixed point theorems for four maps in D-metric space using certain continuity conditions, The Nepal Math.Sc. Report, Vol. 26, N0. 1 & 2, 2006.
- [8]. K.P.R. Rao, V.C.C. Raju and P. Ranga Swamy, On Fixed points of maps satisfying rational inequalities in D-metric spaces, Pure and Applied Mathematika Sciences, Vol. LXV, No. 1-2, March 2007.
- [9]. S. Sedghi and N. Shobe Common Fixed Point Theorems for four mappings in Complete Metric Spaces, Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society Vol. 33 No. 2 (2).

□ □ □