

On certain Köthe-Toeplitz duals

K. M. BARAL

Associate Professor, T.U./I.O.E/Pulchowk Campus,
Pulchowk, Lalitpur, Nepal

S. K. MISHRA

Professor, T.U./I.O.E/Pulchowk Campus,
Pulchowk, Lalitpur, Nepal

S. R. PANT

Professor & Head, Central Dept. of Mathematics,
T. U, Kirtipur, Nepal.

Abstract In this chapter we deal on the results corresponding to Dutta, Srivastava, Gurusingh and others [6, 7]. Promising from this and based on these results we introduce a general sequence space X_t where X is any sequence space. We establish some inclusion relations, topological results and characterize α -, β - and γ -duals of X_t in terms of the α -, β - and γ -duals of X . Furthermore we characterize the Köthe Toeplitz duals and discuss the perfectness of these sequence spaces.

Key words: Duals, Kothe-Toeplitz duals, perfectness, separable space, sequence space.

AMS subject classification: 40C10, 40D25, 40G05, 40H05, 40C05.

1. Introduction

The following definitions and notations will be useful in our discussion and presentation.

ℓ_∞ = The space of all bounded sequences

$$= \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sup_k |x_k| < \infty \right\},$$

c = The space of all convergent sequences

$$= \left\{ x = (x_k) : |x_k - \ell| \rightarrow 0 \text{ for some } \ell \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

c_0 = The space of all null sequences

$$= \{x = (x_k) : |x_k| \rightarrow 0 (k \rightarrow \infty)\}, \text{ and}$$

$\ell_p = (1 \leq p < \infty)$. The space of sequences $x = (x_k)$ with absolutely

p = summable series.

If $p = (p_k)$ is a bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers then;

$$\ell_\infty(p) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sup_k |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty \right\},$$

$$= \left\{ x = (x_k) : |x_k|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \right\},$$

$$c(p) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : |x_k - \ell|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for some } k \rightarrow C \right\},$$

$$\ell(p) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sum_k |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty \right\},$$

$$c = \left\{ x = \{x_k\} : |x_k| \rightarrow \ell (k \rightarrow \infty), \text{ for some } \ell \in C \right\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\text{and } c_s(p) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k - \ell|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for some } \ell \in C \right\}.$$

Let $t = (t_k)$ be any fixed sequence of nonzero complex numbers satisfying

$$\liminf_k (t_k)^{1/k} = r (0 < r \leq \infty)$$

and let X be any sequence space. Then we define X_t by

$$X_t = \{x = (x_k) : (t_k x_k) \in X\}$$

For detailed discussion on these spaces we refer [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 12] to the reader. In this chapter we give some topological relations between X and (X_t) , and also we give the α -, β - and γ -duals of X_t in terms of the α -, β and γ -duals of X .

2 Some Topological Properties of X_t

In this section we give some topological relations between X_t and X , and we discuss some properties of X_t .

Theorem 2.1 *If X is a complete paranormed space, then X_t is also a complete paranormed space.*

Proof: Since $(0) \in X_t, X_t \neq 0$. It is easy to check that X_t is a linear space. And also it is clear that the function g^* is defined by

$$g^*(x) = g(tx)$$

where g is the paranorm in X , is a paranorm because $g^*(0) = 0, g^*(x) = g^*(-x)$ and $g^*(x+y) \leq g^*(x) + g^*(y)$. Now clearly $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ in C and $g^*(x-x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ imply that $g^*(\lambda_n x^n - \lambda x) \rightarrow 0$ as $(n \rightarrow \infty)$, where

$$x^n = (x_k^n)_k = (x_1^n, x_2^n, x_3^n, \dots, x_k^n, \dots) \text{ and } x = (x_k).$$

To show that X_t is complete, let (x^n) be a Cauchy sequence in X_t , where

$x^n = (x_1^n, x_2^n, \dots) \in X_t$. Then $(tx^n) = ((t_k x_k^n), (t_k x_k^n), \dots)$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, it converges to (z_k) say. Let $z_k = t_k x_k$, so that $x_k = t_k^{-1} z_k$. Then (tx^n) converges to $(t_k x_k)$ in X .

Hence,

$$g(t_k x_k^n) = (t_k x_k) = g(tx^n - x) \rightarrow 0$$

$$(g(t_k x_k^n) - (t_k x_k)) = g(tx^n - x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ which implies that}$$

$$g^*(x^n - x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Therefore x^n is convergent, consequently X_t is a complete paranormed space. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 2.1: If X is a Banach space, then so is X_t . Here the norm in X_t is defined by $\|x\|_{(t)} = \|(t_k x_k)\|$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm in X .

Corollary 2.1

(i) $(\ell_\infty)_t, (c_0)_t, (c)_t$ are BK space with the norm $\|x\|_t = \|t_k x_k\|$

Lemma 2.1 If $X \subset Y$ then $X_t \subset Y_t$ and $X_t^* \subset Y_t^*$, where $X_t^* = \{x = (x_k) : (x_k t_k^{-1}) \in X\}$,

(i) $(\cup_i X_i)_t = \cup_i (X_i)_t$

(ii) $(\cap_i Y_i)_t = \cap_i (Y_i)_t$

The proof of this Lemma 1 is easy and hence omitted.

Theorem 2.2 Let X be a complete paranormed space and let Z be a closed subset of X then Z_t is a closed subset of X_t .

Proof: Since $Z \subset X$, $Z_t \subset X_t$ by Lemma-1. Now let $x \in (\bar{Z})_t$, then there exists a sequence $(x^n) \subset Z_t$ such that (x^n) converges to x . This implies that $g^*(x^n - x) = g^*((t_k x_k)^n - (t_k x_k)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in Z_t . Thus $g((t_k x_k^n) - (t_k x_k)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in Z . Hence $(t_k x_k)$ is the limit of a sequence of points in Z . Therefore $(t_k x_k) \in \bar{Z}$ which gives that $x \in (\bar{Z})_t$. Conversely if $x \in (\bar{Z})_t$, then $x \in \bar{Z}$, since Z is closed that is $\bar{Z} = Z$. Therefore $(\bar{Z})_t = (\bar{Z})_t = Z_t$, hence Z_t is closed in X_t . This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 2.3: Let X be a Banach space and Z be a closed subset of X . Then Z_t is a closed subset of X_t .

Theorem 2.3 If X is a separable space, so is X_t .

Proof: Let X be a separable space. Then there exists a countable subset Z of X such that $\bar{Z} = X$. Then $(\bar{Z})_t = X_t$ by Theorem 4.2.2. Hence Z_t is dense in X_t . Let us define $f: Z_t \rightarrow Z$ by $f(x) = (t_k x_k)$. It is clear that f is bijective. Since Z is countable, Z_t is also a countable subset of X_t . Hence X_t is separable.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 2.4 If X is a Hilbert space then X_t is also a Hilbert space.

Proof: Let X be a Hilbert space. If we define the inner product $\langle \cdot \rangle_t$ in X_t by

$$\langle x, y \rangle_t = \langle (t_k x_k), (t_k y_k) \rangle, \quad (x, y \in X_t)$$

Where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product in X . It is easily seen that $\langle \cdot \rangle_t$ satisfies the conditions of inner product, so X_t is an inner product space and hence X_t is a Hilbert space.

Remarks: X_t need not to be a sequence algebra even if X is so. Indeed, it is known that c_0 is a sequence algebra. But $(c_0)_t$ is not a sequence algebra for $(t_k) = (1/k)$. For let $x = (\sqrt{k})$ and $y = (\lambda\sqrt{k})$, where $\lambda \in C$ is a constant. Then $x, y \in (c_0)_t$ but $z \notin (c_0)_t$, where $z = (x_k y_k)$.

3 Köthe Toeplitz Duals of X_t

In this section first we give the α -duals of $\ell_\infty(p), c_0(p), c(p)$ and $\ell(p)$, and we discuss the second duals and perfectness of some sequence spaces. Then we characterize the α -, β - and γ -duals of X_t in terms of the α -, β - and γ -duals of X respectively.

We also discuss the second duals and perfectness of X_t for various sequence spaces X . It may be noted here that β -duals of $\ell_\infty(p), c_0(p), c(p)$ and $\ell(p)$ have been characterized in [6,7].

Definition 3.1 Let X be a sequence space and define

- (i) $X^\alpha = \{a = (a_k) : \sum_k |a_k x_k| < \infty \text{ for all } x \in X\}$,
- (ii) $X^\beta = \{a = (a_k) : \sum_k a_k x_k \text{ converges for all } x \in X\}$,
- (iii) $X^\gamma = \{a = (a_k) : \sup_n \left| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x_k \right| < \infty \text{ for all } x \in X\}$

Then X^α, X^β , and X^γ are called the α -, β - and γ -dual spaces of X respectively. X^α is also called Köthe-Toeplitz dual space and X^β is called the generalized Köthe-Toeplitz dual space. It is easy to show that $\phi \subset X^\alpha \subset X^\beta \subset X^\gamma$. If $X \subset Y$, then $Y^\eta \subset X^\eta$ for $\eta = \alpha, \beta$ or γ . Also for a sequence space X it is clear that $X \subset (X^\eta)^\eta = X^\eta$, where $\eta = \alpha, \beta$ or γ .

Definition 3.2 For a sequence space X , if $X = X^{\eta\eta}$, then X is called an η -space, where $\eta = \alpha, \beta$ or γ . In particular, an α -space is called Köthe space or a perfect sequence space.

Theorem 3.1 Let η denote α, β or γ . Then

- (i) If the η -dual X^η exists, then $(X_t)^\eta$ exists and

$$(X_t)^\eta = \left\{ a = (a_k) : \left\langle \frac{a_k}{t_k} \right\rangle \in X^\eta \right\} = (X^\eta)_t$$

- (ii) If $X^{\eta\eta}$ exists, then $(X_t)^{\eta\eta}$ exists and

$$(X_t)^{\eta\eta} = \{x = (x_k) : (t_k x_k) \in X^{\eta\eta}\} = (X^{\eta\eta})_t$$

Proof: Let $\eta = \alpha$ and $D = \{a = (a_k) : (a_k/t_k) \in X^\alpha\}$. We show that $(X_t)^\alpha = D$. Let $a \in (X_t)^\alpha$ then $\sum_k |a_k x_k| < \infty$ for every $x \in X_t$ so that

$$\sum_k \left| \frac{a_k}{t_k} t_k x_k \right| = \sum_k |a_k x_k| < \infty.$$

Since $(t_k x_k) \in X$ it follows that $(a_k/t_k) \in X^\alpha$ which implies that $a \in D$. Hence

$$(X_t)^\alpha \subset D.$$

Conversely, if $a \in D$ and $x \in X_t$, then $(a_k/t_k) \in X^\alpha$ and $(t_k x_k) \in X$ so that

$$\sum_k |a_k x_k| = \sum_k \left| \frac{a_k}{t_k} t_k x_k \right| < \infty$$

As $a \in X_t$ it follows that $a \in (X_t)^\alpha$. Hence $D \in (X_t)^\alpha$.

Consequently $(X_t)^\alpha = (X^\alpha)_t$.

For $\eta = \beta$ and $\eta = \gamma$ the proofs are similar. Therefore we omit them.

(i) Let $\eta = \alpha$ and let $X^{\alpha\alpha}$ exist. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (X_t)^{\alpha\alpha} &= [(X_t)^\alpha]^\alpha \\ &= [(X^\alpha)_t]^\alpha \\ &= (X^{\alpha\alpha})_t \end{aligned}$$

For $\eta = \beta$ and $\eta = \gamma$ the proof follows as in (i).

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 3.2 X_t is an η -space if and only if X is an η -space, where $\eta = \alpha, \beta$ or γ .

Proof: Let X be an η -space. Then $X^{\alpha\alpha} = X$. Now $(X_t)^{\alpha\alpha} = (X^{\alpha\alpha})_t$ by Theorem 4.3.1 and hence $(X_t)^{\eta\eta} = X_t$. Thus X_t is an η -space.

Conversely, if X_t is an η -space then $(X_t)^{\eta\eta} = X_t$ which implies that $(X^{\eta\eta})_t = X_t$ by Theorem 3.1 (ii). From Lemma (1) it follows that $X^{\eta\eta} = X$ that is, X is an η -space.

Theorem 3.3. Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for every k . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $\ell(p)$ is perfect,
- (ii) $[\ell(p)]_t$ is perfect,
- (iii) $\ell(p) = \ell_1$

Proof: (i) is equivalent to (ii) by Theorem 3.2. We show that (i) is equivalent to (iii).

It is easy to show that (iii) implies (i). Now suppose that (i) holds, that is $\ell^{\alpha\alpha}(p) = \ell(p)$ since $\ell^\alpha(p) = \ell_\infty(p)$ then $\ell^{\alpha\alpha}(p) = \ell_\infty^{\alpha\alpha}(p) = M_\infty(p)$. We shall show that $M_\infty(p) = \ell(p)$ implies $\inf p_k > 0$. Suppose that $M_\infty(p) = \ell(p)$ but $\inf p_k = 0$.

Then there exists a strictly increasing sequence (k_i) of positive integers such that $p_{k_i} < i^{-1}$. We put

$$a_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq k_i \\ i^{-1/p_k} & \text{if } k = k_i \end{cases} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (*)$$

Then for every $N > 1$ we have, for $i > 2N$, $|a_k|^{p_k} = i^{-1}$ and $|a_k| N^{1/p_k} < i^{-1}$ where $k = k_i$ by (*). Therefore $a \in M_\infty(p) - \ell(p)$, contrary to the assumption that $M_\infty(p) = \ell(p)$. Hence $\inf p_k > 0$, which gives us $\ell(p) = \ell_1$. It is easy to check that $M_\infty(p) = \ell_1$ if and only if $\inf p_k > 0$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 3.4 For every $p = (p_k)$ we have

(i) $c_0^\alpha(p) = M_0(p)$, where

$$M_0(p) = \bigcup_{N > 1} \left\{ a = (a_k) : \sum_k |a_k| N^{-1/p_k} < \infty \right\},$$

(ii) $[(c_0(p))_t]^\eta = \bigcup_{N > 1} \left\{ a = (a_k) : \sum_k \left| \frac{a_k}{t_k} \right| N^{-1/p_k} < \infty \right\}$

Where $\eta = \alpha$ or β ,

(iii) $[c_0(p)_t]^\eta = \bigcap_{N > 1} \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sup_k |t_k x_k| N^{1/p_k} < \infty \right\},$

where $c_0^\alpha(p) = E_0$, where

$$E_0 = \bigcap_{N > 1} \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sup_k |x_k| N^{1/p_k} < \infty \right\}$$

for $\eta = \alpha$ or β ,

The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $c_0(p)$ is perfect,
- (b) $[c_0(p)]_t$ is perfect,
- (c) $p \in c_0$.

Proof

(i) Let $a \in M_0(p)$ and $x \in c_0(p)$. Then $\sum_k |a_k| N^{-1/p_k} < \infty$ for some $N > 1$ and $|x_k|^{p_k} < N^{-1}$ for sufficiently large k ; whence for such k it follows that

$$|a_k x_k| \leq |a_k| N^{-1/p_k}$$

so $\sum_k |a_k x_k| \leq \sum_k |a_k| N^{-1/p_k} < \infty$ and hence $M(p) \subset c_0^\alpha(p)$.

Since $c_0^\alpha(p) \subset c_0^\beta(p)$ it follows that $c_0^\beta(p) = M_0(p)$ by Theorem (3.2) in [6,7].

- (ii) Proof follows from (i), Theorem (3.1(i)) and Theorem (3.2) in [6,7].
- (iii) Let $a \in E_0$ and $x \in c_0^\alpha(p)$. Then for every $N > 1$, $|a_k|N^{1/p_k} \leq K$ for all k and for some $K > 0$, and $\sum_k |x_k|N^{1/p_k} < \infty$ for some $N > 1$. Hence $|a_k x_k| \leq K|x_k|N^{-1/p_k}$ which implies that $\sum_k |a_k x_k| \leq K \sum_k |x_k|N^{-1/p_k} < \infty$

Consequently $a \in c_0^{\alpha\alpha}(p)$, whence $E_0 \subset c_0^{\alpha\alpha}(p)$. Since $c_0^\alpha(p) = c_0^\beta(p)$ by (i), it follows that $c_0^{\alpha\alpha}(p) \subset c_0^{\beta\beta}(p)$.

Then we have $c_0^{\alpha\alpha}(p) = E_0$, since $c_0^{\beta\beta}(p) = E_0$ by Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.1(ii) give us (iii). (iv) (a) is equivalent to (b) by Theorem (3.2). Since $c_0(p)$ is a β -space if and only if $p \in c_0$ and since $c_0^{\alpha\alpha}(p) = c_0^{\beta\beta}(p)$ by (c) the equivalence of (a) and (c) is immediate.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 3.5. For every $p = (p_k)$ we have

- (i) $\ell_0^{\alpha\alpha}(p) = M_\infty(p)$, where

$$M_\infty(p) = \bigcap_{N>1} \left\{ a = (a_k) : \sum_k |a_k|N^{1/p_k} < \infty \right\},$$

- (ii) $[\ell_\infty(p)_t]^\eta = \bigcap_{N>1} \left\{ a = (a_k) : \sum_k \left| \frac{a_k}{t_k} \right| N^{1/p_k} < \infty \right\},$

where $[\ell_\infty(p)_t]^\eta = \bigcap_{N>1} \left\{ a = (a_k) : \sum_k |a_k|N^{1/p_k} < \infty \right\}$ for $\eta = \alpha$ or β

- (iii) $\ell_\infty^{\alpha\alpha}(p) = E_\infty$, where $E_\infty = \bigcup_{N>1} \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sup_k |x_k|N^{-1/p_k} < \infty \right\},$

- (iv) $[(\ell_\infty(p))_t]^\eta = \bigcup_{N>1} \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sup_k |t_k x_k|N^{-1/p_k} < \infty \right\},$

Where $\eta = \alpha$ or β

- (v) The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $p \in \ell_\infty$
- (2) $\ell_\infty(p)$ is perfect,
- (3) $[\ell_\infty(p)]_t$ is perfect

Proof (i): It is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4(i), since $\ell_\infty^\beta(p) = M_\infty(p)$ Theorem 3.1(i) give us (ii). The proof of (iii) is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4(iii), since

$\ell_{\infty}^{\beta\beta}(p) = E_{\infty}$. Theorem 3.1(ii) give us (iv). The proof of (v) is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4(iv).

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 3.6 For every $p = (p_k)$ we have

- (i) $c^{\alpha}(p) = c_0^{\alpha}(p) \cap \ell_1$,
- (ii) $\{(\alpha(p))_t\}^{\alpha} = [M_0(p)]_t^* \cap (\ell_t)_t^*$,
- (iii) $\{(\alpha(p))_t\}^{\beta} = [M_0(p)]_t^* \cap \gamma_t^*$,

Where $\gamma = \left\{ a = (a_k) : \sum_k a_k \text{ converges} \right\}$.

Proof (i) : Let $a \in c^{\alpha}(p) \cap \ell_1$ and $x \in c(p)$, $|x_k - \ell|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 (k \rightarrow \infty)$. Then $\sum_k |a_k| < \infty$ and since $x \in c(p)$, $(x_k - \ell) \in c_0(p)$ and hence

$$\sum_k |a_k(x_k - \ell)| < \infty.$$

Now from the inequality

$$|a_k x_k| \leq |a_k(x_k - \ell)| + |\ell a_k|$$

We obtain that $\sum_k |a_k x_k| < \infty$. Therefore $a \in c^{\alpha}(p)$.

Since $c_0(p) \subset c(p)$ it follows that $c_0(p) \subset c_0^{\beta}(p)$. Let $a \in c^{\alpha}(p)$.

Since $e = (1, 1, \dots) \in c(p)$, it follows that $\sum_k |a_k| < \infty$, so that $a \in \ell_1$. Hence $a \in c_0^{\alpha}(p) \cap \ell_1$. This completes the proof of (i). Theorem 3.6 (i) and theorem 3.1(i) give us (ii); theorem 3.1(i) give us 3.6 (iii). This completes the proof.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Baral, K. M., Mishra, S. K., and Pant, S. R., On Köthe Toeplitz duals of a certain sequence space and matrix transformations, *Journal of Natural and Physical Sciences*, Gurukul Kangri University, Vol.17, No (2) India (2003), (157-166).
- [2] Chaudhary, B., and Mishra, S. K., A note on Köthe Toeplitz duals of certain sequence spaces and their matrix transformations, *International J. Math. Math. Sci.* (18) No.4 New work (1995), (681-688).

- [3] Chaudhary, B., and Nanda, S., *Functional analysis with applications*, Wiley eastern limited, (1989).
- [4] Kizmaz, H., On certain sequence spaces, *Canadian Math. Bull.* 24 (2) (1981), (169-176).
- [5] Lascarides, C. G., and Maddox, I. J., Matrix transformation between some class of sequences proc. *Cambridge Phil. Soc* 68, (1970), (99-104).
- [6] Maddox, I. J., Continuous and Köthe Toeplitz duals of certain sequence spaces proc. *Camb. Philos. Soc.* (65), (1969), (431-435).
- [7] Mishra, S. K., and Baral, K. M., Certain sequence spaces and matrix transformations from $Sl_{\infty}(p)$ to c and C_s . *The Nepali Mathematical Sciences Report*, Central Department of Mathematics, Tribhuvan University, Nepal Vol. 19, No.1 and 2 (2001), (67-73).
- [8] Nanda, S., Matrix transformations in some sequence spaces, *Indian J. Pure appl. Math.* 20(7),1983, (707-710).
- [9] Nanda, S., "Two applications of Functional Analysis: Matrix transformations and sequence spaces", *Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Math.* Queen's Univ. Press No.74 (1986).
- [10] Simons, S., The sequence space $\ell(p_v)$ and $m(p_v)$, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*(3), 15
- [11] Srivastava, P. D., Nanda, S., and Dutta, S., "On certain paranormed function spaces", *Rendiconti di Mathematics* (3) (1983), Vol.3, Series VII (413-425)
- [12] Srivastava, P. D., "A study of some aspects of certain spaces and algebras of analytic functions", *Ph.D. Thesis*, IIT, Kanpur, (1978).