

On Hypersurfaces of H Hsu - Manifold

GEETA VERMA

Abstract: In these papers [2], [3] and [4] we have studied some properties of hypersurfaces of H Hsu - manifold. In this paper we have defined hyperbolic almost kahler manifold and studied its hypersurfaces. It has been found that the hypersurface of hyperbolic almost Kahler manifold is locally quasi-Sasakian manifold. Some results regarding the hypersurfaces of a flat H Hsu-manifold have also been obtained.

Keywords: Hyperbolic Almost Kahler manifold, Curvature tensor, Reimannian connection.

1. Introduction

We consider a differentiable manifold M^n of class C^∞ . Let there be a vector valued linear function F of C^∞ , satisfying the algebraic equation

$$(1.1) \quad F^2 = -a^r I_n$$

where 'a' is a complex number.

Then F is said to give to M^n a hyperbolic differentiable structure, briefly H Hsu-structure, defined by algebraic equation (1.1) and the manifold M^n is called HH su-manifold [5]. The equation (1.1) gives different algebraic structures for different values of a . If $a \neq 0$, it is a hyperbolic π -structure, $a = \pm 1$, it is an almost complex or an almost hyperbolic product structure. $a = \pm 1$, it is an almost product or an almost hyperbolic complex structure and $a = 0$, it is an almost tangent or a hyperbolic almost tangent structure. In the second case n has to be even and in the second and third cases $a^{2r} = 1$.

If the H Hsu-structure is endowed with Hermite metric G , such that

$$(1.2) \quad G(F\lambda, F\mu) = a^r G(\lambda, \mu)$$

Then $\{F, G\}$ is said to give to M^n hyperbolic Hermitee structure, briefly known as H Hsu-structure subordinate to H Hsu-structure.

In a hyperbolic H -structure, if

$$(1.3) \quad (E_\lambda, F)(\mu) = 0 \text{ or } (E_\lambda, F)(F\mu) = 0$$

is satisfied, then M^n is said to be a hyperbolic Kahler manifold. E is the Reimannian connexion.

If a hyperbolic H-structure, if

$$(1.4) \quad (E_\lambda, F)(\mu) + (E_\mu F)(\lambda) = 0$$

is satisfied, then M^n is said to be a hyperbolic nearly Kahler manifold.

Let us consider M^n and M^m as the H Hsu-manifold and its hypersurface respectively. Let $b : M^m \rightarrow M^n$ be the embedding map, such that $p \in M^m \Rightarrow bp \in M^n$,

Let B be the corresponding Jacobian map such that a vector field X in M^n at p , BX in M^m at bp . Let g be the induced Reimannian metric in M^m . Thus we have

$$(1.5) \quad G(BX, BY)_{ob} = g(X, Y)$$

for arbitrary vector fields X, Y in M^n .

$$(1.6a) \quad G(N, N)_{ob} = 1$$

$$(1.6b) \quad G(N, BX)_{ob} = 0$$

for a unit normal to M^m .

If we put

$$(1.7a) \quad FBX = B(fX) + u(X)N$$

$$(1.7b) \quad FN = -BU$$

Then it can be easily seen that

$$(1.8a) \quad \bar{X} = a^r X + u(X)U$$

$$(1.8b) \quad u(fX) = 0$$

$$(1.8c) \quad u(U) = a'$$

$$(1.8d) \quad fU = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$(1.9) \quad g(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = a^r g(X, Y) - u(X)u(Y)$$

where $X \underline{\text{def}} fX$ and $u(X) = g(X, U)$

i.e. the induced structure in a general contact metric structure.

Let E and D be the Reimannian connexions in M^n and M^m respectively, Gauss and Weingarten equations are

$$(1.10a) \quad E_{BX}BY = BD_XY + H(X, Y)N$$

$$(1.10b) \quad E_{BX}N = -BH_X, \text{ respectively [1].}$$

where

$$H(X, Y) \underline{\text{def}} g(HX, Y)$$

Let R and K denote the curvature tensors with respect to the connexions E and D respectively. The generalized Gauss and Mainardi-Codazzi equations are given by [5].

$$(1.11a) \quad 'R(BX, BY, BZ, BW)ob = 'K(X, Y, Z, W) + \\ + a^r 'H(X, Z)'H(Y, W) - a^r 'H(Y, Z)'H(X, W)$$

$$(1.11b) \quad 'R(BX, BY, BZ, N)ob = a^r \{(D_X H)(Y, Z) - (D_Y H)(X, Z)\}$$

where

$$'R(BX, BY, BZ, BW) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G(R(BX, BY, BY), BW)$$

On the hypersurface of a hyperbolic Kahler manifold subordinate of H Hsu-manifold the following results hold [2].

$$(1.12a) \quad (D_X f)Y = u(Y)HX - 'H(X, Y)U$$

$$(1.12b) \quad (D_X u)(Y) = -'H(X, \bar{Y})$$

Agreement (1.1): In the above and sequel λ, μ, ν, \dots will be taken as arbitrary vector fields in the enveloping manifold and X, Y, Z, \dots as arbitrary vector fields in the hypersurface.

2. Hyperbolic Almost Kahler Manifold

Definition (2.1): Hyperbolic Hermite manifold satisfying

$$(2.1a) \quad (E_\lambda F)(\mu, \nu) + (E_\mu F)(\nu, \lambda) + (E_\nu F)(\lambda, \mu) = 0$$

$$\text{where} \quad 'F(\lambda, \mu) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G(F\lambda, \mu)$$

Will be called hyperbolic almost Kahler manifold, sub ordinate to H Hsu-manifold.

From the equation (1.7a), we have

$$(2.1b) \quad G(FBX, BY) = G(BfX, BY) + u(X)G(N, BY)$$

Differentiating equation (2.1b), covariantly with respect to BZ , then using the equations (1.5), (1.6), (1.7a) and (1.10a), we have

$$(2.2) \quad (E_{BZ}'F)(BX, BY)ob = (D_Z, 'f)(X, Y) + 'H(X, Z)u(Y) - 'H(Y, Z)u(X)$$

Writing two other equations by cyclic permutations of X, Y, Z , we have

$$(2.3) \quad (E_{BY}'F)(BZ, BX)ob = (D_Y, 'f)(Z, X) + 'H(Z, Y)u(X) - 'H(X, Y)u(Z)$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad (E_{BX}'F)(BY, BZ)ob = (D_X, 'f)(Y, Z) + 'H(Y, X)u(Z) - 'H(X, Z)u(Y)$$

Thus we have the following theorem:

Theorem (2.1): If the enveloping manifold is a hyperbolic almost Kahler manifold, its hypersurface is given by

$$(2.5) \quad (D_X, 'f)(Y, Z) + (D_Y, 'f)(Z, X) + (D_Z, 'f)(X, Y) = 0$$

Proof: Adding the equations (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$(2.6) \quad \{(E_{BZ} 'F)(BX, BY) + (E_{BY} 'F)(BZ, BX) + (E_{BX} 'F)(BY, BZ)\} ob \\ = (D_Z, 'f)(Y, Z) + (D_Y, 'f)(Z, X) + (D_X, 'f)(X, Y)$$

Using the equation (2.1a) in the equation (2.6), we get the equation (2.5).

Corollary (2.1): Hypersurface of Hyperbolic almost Kahler manifold is locally Quassi Sasakian manifold.

Proof: Equation (2.5) proves the statement.

Theorem (2.2): For the hypersurface of hyperbolic almost Kahler manifold, we have

$$(2.7) \quad (D_X, 'f)(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) + (D_Y, 'f)(\bar{Z}, \bar{X}) + (D_Z, 'f)(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) + \\ + 'f((D_Z, f)X - (D_X, f)Z, \bar{Y}) + 'f((D_Y, f)Z - (D_Z, f)Y, \bar{X}) + \\ + 'f((D_X, f)Y - (D_Y, f)X, \bar{Z}) = 0$$

Proof: We have

$$(2.8a) \quad 'f(X, Y) = g(\bar{X}, Y) = -'f(X, Y)$$

and

$$(2.8b) \quad 'f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = a' 'f(X, Y)$$

Differentiating (2.8b) covariantly with respect to Z and Using the equation (2.8) again,

We get

$$(2.9a) \quad (D_Z 'f)(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) + 'f((D_Z f)(X, \bar{Y}) + 'f(\bar{X}, (D_Z f)Y) = a' (D_Z 'f)(X, Y)$$

Similarly, writing two other equations, we have

$$(2.9b) \quad (D_Y 'f)(\bar{Z}, \bar{X}) + 'f((D_Y f)Z, \bar{X}) + 'f(\bar{Z}, (D_Y f)X) = a' (D_Y 'f)(Z, X)$$

$$(2.9c) \quad (D_X 'f)(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) + 'f((D_X f)Y, \bar{Z}) + 'f(\bar{Y}, (D_X f)Z) = a' (D_X 'f)(X, Y)$$

Adding the equations (2.9a), (b) and (c) then using the equations (2.8a) and (2.5), we get the required result.

3. Hypersurfaces of Flat H Hsu-manifold

Theorem (3.1): The umbilical hypersurface of a hyperbolic General Differentiable (H Hsu) manifold is of constant Reimannian curvature, iff the enveloping manifold is flat.

Proof: Let the hypersurface be umbilic, i.e.

$$'H(X, Y) = g(X, Y) \quad [1],$$

then (1.11a), gives

$$(3.1) \quad 'R(BX, BY, BZ, BW) ob = 'K(X, Y, Z, W) + \\ + d'g(X, Y)g(Y, W) - d'g(Y, Z)g(X, W)$$

If the enveloping manifold is flat, then (3.1) reduces to

$$(3.2) \quad 'K(X, Y, Z, W) = d'\{g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)\}$$

This shows that the hypersurface is constant Reimannian Curvature.

Conversely, if (3.2) holds, then using (3.2) in (3.1), we have $'R(BX, BY, BZ, BW) = 0$, that is the manifold is flat.

Theorem (3.2): The scalar curvature of the umbilical hypersurface M^n of a flat H Hsu-manifold M^n is given by

$$(3.3) \quad r = m(m-1)d'$$

Proof: The umbilical hypersurface is of constant R reimannian curvature (by theorem (3.1)). We have

$$K(X, Y, Z) = d'\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\}$$

From this we at once get the equation (3.3).

Theorem (3.3): The quasi-umbilical hypersurface of a flat H Hsu-manifold can never be of constant Reimannian cuevature.

Proof: Let the hypersurface of a flat H Hsu-manifold be quasi-umbilical, then we can always write.

$$(3.4) \quad 'H(X, Y) = g(X, Y) + u(X)u(Y)$$

Using (3.4) in (1.11a), we have

$$(3.5) \quad 'R(BX, BY, BZ, BW) ob = 'K(X, Y, Z, W) + \\ + d'g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - d'g(Y, Z)g(X, W) \\ + d'g(Y, W)u(X)u(Z) + d'g(X, Z)u(Y)u(W) - d'g(Y, Z)u(X)u(W)$$

Now,

$$'K(X, Y, Z, W) = d'\{g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)\}$$

$$\text{If } d'\{g(Y, W)u(X)u(Z) + g(X, Z)u(Y)u(W) - g(Y, Z)u(X)u(W) \\ - g(X, W)u(Y)u(Z)\} = 0$$

Let $d' \neq 0$ then

$$\{g(Y, W)u(X)u(Z) + g(X, Z)u(Y)u(W) - g(Y, Z)u(X)u(W) \\ - g(X, W)u(Y)u(Z)\} = 0$$

$$\text{or } g(Y, W)u(X)U + u(Y)u(W)X - u(X)u(W)Y - g(X, W)u(Y)U = 0$$

$$\text{or } d'g(Y, W) + mu(Y)u(W) - u(Y)u(W) - u(Y)u(W) = 0$$

$$\text{or } d'g(Y, W) + (m-2)u(Y)u(W) = 0$$

$$\text{or } d'Y + (m-2)u(Y)U = 0$$

$$\text{or } ma' + (m-2)a' = 0$$

$$\text{or } 2a'(m-1) = 0$$

$$\text{or } a' = 0$$

But $a' \neq 0$, thus the quasi-umbilical hypersurface can not be of constant Reimannian curvature.

Theorem (3.4): *If the hypersurface of a flat H Hsu-manifold of minimal variety, then $\text{div } H = 0$.*

But the converse is not true in general.

Proof: Let the hypersurface be of minimal variety, then

$$\text{tr. } H = 0, [1]$$

Since the enveloping manifold is flat, equation (1.11b) implies that

$$(D_X H)Y - (D_Y H)X = 0$$

Contracting this equation, we get

$$(\text{div } H)Y = Y \text{tr. } H = 0 \quad (\text{since } \text{Tr. } H = 0)$$

Conversely, if $\text{div. } H = 0$, then from the last equation, we get

$$Y \text{tr. } H = 0 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \text{tr. } H = \text{constant}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Mishra, R. S. (1984): *Structures on Differentiable manifold and their Applications*. Chandrama Prakashan, Allahabad (U.P.)
- [2] Pandey, S.B. and Singh, Puran (1993): *On general contact hypersurface of H Hsu-manifold*. Jour. Sci. Vol. 5 no.2.
- [3] Pandey, S.B. and Singh, Puran (1994): *On H Hsu-manifold of contact Reimannian curvature*. JT SI, Vol. 8, 9, 10. pp.70-75.
- [4] Singh, Puran (1995): *On H Hsu-manifold*. JT SI, Vol. 13. pp.12-14.
- [5] Singh, Puran (1993): *On differentiable manifolds and their Applications*. Ph. D. Thesis, K.U., Nainital (U.P.) India.
- [6] Nivas, Ram and Khan, Nazrul Islam (2003): *On Submanifold Immersed in Hsu-Quaternion Manifold*, The Nepali Mathematical Science report, Vol. 21, No. 1 & 2, pp 73-79.

GEETA VERMA

Dept. of Applied Sciences & Humanities,
Azad Institute of Engineering & Technology,
Natkur, post: Chandrawal, Bangla Bazar Road,
Lucknow - 226002 (U.P.)

INDIA

E-mail: geeta_verma1@yahoo.com