

On Weakly Symmetric and Weakly Ricci-Symmetric Lorentzian Para-Sasakian Manifolds

DHRUWA NARAIN AND SUNIL YADAV

Department of Mathematics & Statistics

D.D.U. Gorakhpur, India

Email: profdndubey@yahoo.co.in

prof_sky16@yahoo.com

Abstract: The aim of the present paper is to study weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci symmetric an LP-Sasakian manifolds and proved that if weakly symmetric LP-Sasakian manifold satisfies η -parallel Ricci condition then the scalar curvature of the manifolds is equal to rank of ϕ . If weakly symmetric an LP-Sasakian manifolds satisfies Ricci tensor of Coddazi type then the manifolds is R-Harmonic, further we have obtained the necessary condition for weakly symmetric an LP-Sasakian manifolds has Cyclic Ricci is that vanishing the 1-forms $\alpha + \beta + \delta$ for all the vector field X, Y and Z .

Mathematical Subject Classification (2000): 53C50, 53C15, 53C25, 53B30.

Key words: Coddazi type Ricci tensor, R-harmonic manifold, Cyclic Ricci tensor, η -parallel Ricci tensor, and Quasi Einstein manifold.

1. Introduction

K. Matsumoto 1989, Introduced the notion of Lorentzian Para-Sasakian manifold (M^n, g) ($n \geq 2$) Mihai and Rosca [2] define same notion independently. This type of manifolds is also discussed in [3]. A non-flat Riemannian manifolds if called weakly symmetric if there exist 1-form α, β, δ and σ such that the relation.

$$(1.1) \quad (\nabla_X R)(Y, Z, U, V) = \alpha(X)R(Y, Z, U, V) + \beta(Y)R(X, Z, U, V) + \gamma(Z)R(Y, X, U, V) + \delta(U)R(Y, Z, X, V) + \sigma(V)R(Y, Z, U, V)$$

Holds for all vector fields $X, Y, Z, \dots \in (M^n, g)$ ([4][5])

Where R is the curvature tensor of the manifold (M^n, g) of type $(0, 4)$

A weakly symmetric manifolds is said to be proper if $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \delta = \sigma = 0$ is not the case.

A Riemannian manifolds is called weakly Ricci symmetric if there exist 1-form ρ , μ and ν such that the relation

$$(1.2) \quad (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = \rho(X)S(Y, Z) + \mu(Y)S(X, Z) + \nu(Z)S(X, Y)$$

Hold for vector fields X, Y and Z where S is the Ricci tensor of type $(0, 2)$ of the manifold.

A weakly Ricci symmetric manifolds is said to be proper if $\rho = \mu = \nu = 0$ is not the cases.

Let $\{e_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, n$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at a point of the manifolds.

Putting $Y = Z = e_i$ in (1.1) and taking summation over i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, we get

$$(1.3) \quad (\nabla_X S)(Z, U) = \alpha(X)S(Z, U) + \beta(Z)S(X, U) + \delta(U)S(Z, X) + \beta(R(X, Z)U) + \delta(R(X, U)Z)$$

L. Tamassy and T. Q. Binh ([4], [5]) introduced the notion of weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci symmetric, Sasakian manifolds. M. Kon [6], U. C. De, T. Q. Binh and A.A Shaikh [7] obtained the necessary condition for the compatibility of several k -Contact structure with weak symmetric and weak Ricci symmetric and provided they do not reduce to conman local symmetric and Pseudo Ricci symmetric respectively. In this paper we study LP-Sasakian manifolds with weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci symmetric tensor, Quasi Einstein, Cyclic Ricci tensor and Ricci tensor of Codaggi type.

2. Preliminaries

Let (M^n, g) be n -dimensional. Differentiable manifolds with a tensor field f of type $(1, 1)$, a contravariant vector field ξ , a covariant vector field η and a Lorentzian metric g of type $(0, 2)$ which satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad \phi^2 = 1 + \eta \otimes \xi$$

$$(2.2) \quad \eta\xi = -1$$

$$(2.3) \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

$$(2.4) \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X)$$

It is called Lorentzian Para-Sasakian manifolds briefly (LP-Sasakian manifold) and the structure (ϕ, η, ξ, g) is called a Lorentzian Para-contact structure. An LP-Contact manifolds is called LP-Sasakian manifold if it satisfying.

$$(2.5) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)(Y) = g(X, Y) \xi + \eta(Y)X + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y) \xi$$

Where ∇ denoted the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to Lorentzian g metric of type $(0, 2)$.

In a LP-Sasakian manifolds the following relations hold ([1][2]).

$$(2.6) \quad (a) \phi \xi = 0. \quad (b) \eta(\phi X) = 0 \quad (c) \text{rank}(\phi) = n - 1$$

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi X$$

$$(2.8) \quad \eta(R(\xi, X)Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(Y)\eta(X)$$

$$(2.9) \quad R(\xi, X) \xi = X + \eta(X) \xi$$

$$(2.10) \quad S(X, \xi) = (n - 1) \eta(X)$$

$$(2.11) \quad R(X, Y) \xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y$$

$$(2.12) \quad S(\phi X, \phi Y) = S(X, Y) + (n - 1)\eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

For any vector field X, Y and Z where $R^*(X, Y)Z$ is the Riemannian curvature tensor.

Lemma 2.1:

In a Lorentzian Para-Sasakian manifolds if ξ be a killing vector fields then we get.

$$(a) \quad L_\xi S = 0$$

$$(b) \quad L_\xi r = 0, \text{ where } L \text{ denoted Li-derivation}$$

3. Weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci symmetric LP-Sasakian manifolds with $\nabla_\xi S(Z, U) = 0$

Theorem 3.1:

If weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci symmetric LP-Sasakian manifolds (M^n, g) $n \geq 3$ satisfies the condition then the sum of the 1-form $\alpha + \beta + \delta$ are equal to the sum of the 1-form $\rho + \mu + \nu$ over the killing vector field ξ .

Proof:

Consider the manifolds (M^n, g) is weakly symmetric. Then from (1.3) putting $X = \xi$ and using (2.10), we get

$$(3.1) \quad (\nabla_{\xi} S)(Z, U) = \alpha(\xi)S(Z, U) + (n-1)[\beta(Z)\eta(U) + \delta(U)\eta(Z)] + \beta(P(\xi, Z)U) + \delta(R(\xi, U)Z)$$

From (2.1-a), we get

$$(3.2) \quad (\nabla_{\xi} S)(Z, U) = -S(\nabla_Z \xi, U) - S(Z, \nabla_U \xi)$$

Using (2.7) in (3.2), we get

$$(3.3) \quad (\nabla_{\xi} S)(Z, U) = -S(\phi Z, U) + S(Z, \phi U)$$

Also from (2.11) we have

$$S(\phi Z, U) = ng(\phi Z, U) - g(\phi Z, U) \text{ and}$$

$$S(Z, \phi U) = ng(Z, \phi U) - g(Z, \phi U)$$

Using this result in (3.3), we get

$$(3.4) \quad (\nabla_{\xi} S)(Z, U) = 0$$

From (1.2) We get

$$(3.5) \quad (\nabla_{\xi} S)(Z, U) = \rho(\xi)S(Z, U) + \beta(Z)S(\xi, U) + \nu(U)S(\xi, Z)$$

Also from (3.1) we get

$$(3.6) \quad (\nabla_{\xi} S)(Z, U) = \alpha(\xi)S(Z, U) + \beta(Z)S(\xi, U) + \delta(U)S(\xi, Z) + \beta(R(\xi, U)Z)U + \delta(R(\xi, U)Z)$$

From (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), we get

$$(3.7) \quad \alpha(\xi)S(Z, U) + \beta(Z)S(\xi, U) + \delta(U)S(\xi, Z) + \beta(R(\xi, U)Z)U + \delta(P(\xi, U)Z)U = \rho(\xi)S(Z, U) + \mu(Z)S(\xi, U) + \nu(U)S(\xi, Z)$$

Putting $Z = U = \xi$ in (3.7), we get

$$\alpha(\xi) + \beta(\xi) + \delta(\xi) = \rho(\xi) + \mu(\xi) + \nu(\xi)$$

We get the result as required.

4. Weakly Symmetric Quasi-Einstein an LP-Sasakian Manifolds

Definition 4.1 A non-flat LP-Sasakian manifold is called Quasi-Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor S of type $(0, 2)$ satisfies the condition

$$(4.1) \quad S(X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + bA(X)A(Y)$$

Where a, b are scalar and $b \neq 0$ and A is non-zero 1-form such that $A(X) = g(X, U)$ for every X and U is unit vector fields.

Theorem 4.10:

There exist no weakly symmetric Quasi Einstein LP-Sasakian manifolds if

$$r\alpha + 2\alpha\beta + 2\beta\delta + 2bA(L)U + 2bA(M)U - b\|U\|^2 \text{ is not everywhere zero.}$$

Proof:

We consider a weakly symmetric manifold is a Quasi Einstein LP-Sasakian manifold (M^n, g)

Then from (1.3), we get

$$(4.2) \quad (\nabla_X S)(Z, U) = \alpha(X)S(X, Y) + \beta(Y)S(X, Y) + \delta(Z)S(X, Y) + \beta(R(X, Y)Z) + \delta(R(X, Z)Y)$$

$$\text{Where } g(X, L) = \beta(X) \text{ and } g(X, M) = \delta(X)$$

From (4.1) we get

$$(4.3) \quad (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = b[(\nabla_X A)(Y)A(Z) + (\nabla_X A)(Z)A(Y)]$$

Then from (4.2) and (4.3), we get

$$(4.4) \quad \alpha(X)S(X, Y) + \beta(Y)S(X, Y) + \delta(Z)S(X, Y) + \beta(R(X, Y)Z) + \delta(R(X, Z)Y) = b[(\nabla_X A)(Y)A(Z) + (\nabla_X A)(Z)A(Y)]$$

Putting $Y = Z = e_i$ in (4.4) and taking summation $1 \leq i \leq n$, we get

$$(4.5) \quad r(X) + 2S(X, L) + 2S(X, M) = 2b \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_X A)(e_i)A(e_i)$$

Using (4.1) in (4.5), we get

$$(4.6) \quad r\alpha(X) + 2[ag(X, L) + bA(X)A(L)] + 2[Ag(X, M) + bA(X)A(M)] = 2b \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_X A)(e_i)A(e_i)$$

The right hand side of equation (4.6) can be written as follows

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X(A(e_i) - A(\nabla_X e_i))A(e_i)$$

Where $\{e_i\}$ be the orthonormal bases at $T_u(M)$, $u \in M$ let us translate these parallel from u in any direction then $(\nabla_X e_i) = 0$ using this fact in above relation, we get

$$(4.7) \quad 2b \sum_{i=1}^n X(A(e_i))A(e_i) = 2b \sum_{i=1}^n g(\nabla_X U, e_i)g(e_i, U) = 2bg(\nabla_X U, U) = bXg(U, U) = bX\|U\|^2$$

Then from (4.6) and (4.7) we get

$$R\alpha + 2\alpha\beta + 2\beta\delta + 2bA(L)U + 2bA(M)U - b\|U\|^2$$

We get the result as required.

5. Weakly Ricci-Symmetric and LP-Sasakian Manifolds with η -Parallel Ricci tensor.

Definition 5.1: The Ricci tensor of weakly Ricci symmetric LP-Sasakian manifolds is called η -parallel if it satisfies.

$$(5.1) \quad (\nabla_X S)(\phi X, \phi Y) = 0, \text{ for all vector field } X, Y \text{ and } Z.$$

Theorem 5.1: If weakly Ricci symmetric LP-Sasakian manifolds has η -parallel Ricci tensor then the scalar curvature r of the manifolds is equal to $\text{rank}(\phi)$.

Proof:

We consider weakly Ricci symmetric LP-Sasakian manifolds with η -parallel Ricci tensor. Then from (1.2) we get

$$(5.2) \quad (\nabla_X S)(X, Y) = M(X)S(\phi X, \phi Z) + \mu(\phi Y)S(X, \phi Z) + \nu(\phi Y)S(X, \phi Y)$$

Using (2.12) in (5.2) we get

$$(5.3) \quad (\nabla_X S)(\phi Y, \phi Z) = M(X)S(Y, Z) + (n-1)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) + M(\phi Y)S(X, \phi Y)$$

From (5.1) and (5.3), we get.

$$(5.4) \quad M(X)[S(Y, Z) + (n-1)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)] + \mu(\phi Y)S(X, \phi Z) + \nu(\phi Z)S(X, \phi Y)$$

Putting $Z = \xi$ in (5.4) and using (2.6-b) and (2.10), we get.

$$r = n - 1 = \text{rank}(f)$$

We get the result as required.

6. Weakly Symmetric an LP-Sasakian Manifolds with Cyclic Ricci Tensor

Theorem 6.1: The necessary condition for LP-Sasakian manifolds has Cyclic Ricci tensor if vanishing the sum of 1-form $\alpha + \beta + \delta$ for all vector fields S, Y and Z .

Proof:

From (1.3), we get,

$$(6.1) \quad (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = \alpha(X)S(Y, Z) + \beta(Y)S(X, Z) + \delta(Z)S(Y, X) + \beta(R(X, Y)Z) + \delta(R(X, Y)Z)$$

Now taking the cyclic permutation of equation (6.1) in X, Y, Z and adding them, we get.

$$(6.2) \quad (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y S)(X, Z) + (\nabla_Z S)(X, Y) = S(X, Y)[\alpha(X) + \beta(X) + \delta(X)] + S(X, Z)[\alpha(Y) + \beta(Y) + \delta(Y)] + S(Y, X)[\alpha(Z) + \beta(Z) + \delta(Z)] + \beta(R(X, Y)Z) + \beta(R(Y, Z)X) + \beta(R(Z, X)Y) + \delta(R(X, Z)Y) + \delta(R(Y, X)Z) + \delta(R(Z, Y)X)$$

Using (2.8) in equation (6.2), we get

$$(6.3) \quad (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y S)(X, Z) + (\nabla_Z S)(X, Y) = S(X, Y)[\alpha(X) + \beta(X) + \delta(X)] + S(X, Z)[\alpha(Y) + \beta(Y) + \delta(Y)] + S(Y, X)[\alpha(Z) + \beta(Z) + \delta(Z)]$$

From (6.3) it follows that

$$(6.4) \quad (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y S)(X, Z) + (\nabla_Z S)(X, Y) = 0$$

If vanishing the sum of 1-form $\alpha + \beta + \delta$ for all vector fields X, Y and Z .

Corollary 6.1: If weakly symmetric KLP-Sasakian manifold (M^n, g) , $n \geq 3$ satisfies the Cyclic Ricci tensor condition then the vanishing the sum of 1-form $\alpha + \beta + \delta$ over the killing vector fields ξ .

Theorem 6.2: If weakly symmetric LP-Sasakian manifolds has Ricci tensor of Coddazzi type then the manifolds is R-Harmonic. (that is symmetric)

Proof:

We suppose weakly Ricci symmetric LP-Sasakian manifold has Ricci tensor of Coddazzi type that is LP Sasakian manifolds with the condition (6.7)

$$(6.7) \quad (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_Y S)(X, Z) + (\nabla_X S)(X, Y)$$

From equation (6.7) and equation (6.4), we get $(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = 0$

We get the result as required.

REFERENCES

1. I. Mihai and R. Rosca: On Lorentzian Para-Sasakian manifolds, Classical Yamagata world Scientific Public. Singapore (155 – 159) (1992)
2. K. Matsumoto and I. Mihai: On a Certain Transformation in Lorentzian-Para Sasakian manifolds, Tensor N.S., 47 (189 – 197) (1988).
3. K. Matsumoto: On Lorentzian Para-Contact manifolds, Bull. Of Yamagata Univ, Nati., Sci., 12, 2 (151 – 156) (1989).
4. L. Tamassy and T. Q. Binh: On Weakly Symmetric and weakly Projective symmetric Riemannian manifolds Coll., Math. Soci. J. Blyai 56 (663 – 670) (1993).
5. L. Tamassy and T. Q. Binh: On weakly Symmetric of Einstein and Sasakian manifolds Tensor N. S. 53, (140 – 148) (1993).
6. M. Kon: Invariant Sub manifolds in Sasakian manifolds, Mathematische Annalen 219 (277 – 290) (1976).
7. U. C. De, T. Q. Binh and A. A. Shaikh: On weakly Symmetric and Weakly Ricci Symmetric K-Contact manifolds, Acta Mathematica Academia Paedagogicae, Nyigyhaziensis, 16(65 – 71) (2000)

□ □ □