

Related fixed point theorems for set-valued mappings on two complete and compact metric spaces

Y. ROHEN

Department of Mathematics,
D.M. College of Science, Imphal,
Pin No. 795 001, Manipur.

M. RANJIT

Department of Mathematics,
Manipur University, Canchipur,
Pin No. 795 003, Manipur.

Abstract: A related fixed point theorem for set-valued mappings on two complete metric spaces is obtained. A generalization for two compact metric spaces is also obtained.

Keywords and Phrases: Fixed point, set-valued mappings, complete metric space, compact metric space.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 47H10, Secondary 54H25.

1. Introduction

The following theorem was proved by Namdeo, Tiwari, Fisher and Tas [3].

Theorem 1.1: Let (X, d) and (Y, ρ) be complete metric spaces, let T be mapping of X into Y and let S be a mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}d(Sy, Sy') d(STx, STx') &\leq c \max \{d(Sy, Sy') \rho(Tx, Tx'), \\d(x', Sy) \rho(y', Tx), d(x, x') d(Sy, Sy'), d(Sy, STx) d(Sy', STx')\} \\ \rho(Tx, Tx') \rho(TSy, TSy') &\leq c \max \{d(Sy, Sy') \rho(Tx, Tx'), \\d(x', Sy) \rho(y', Tx), \rho(y, y') \rho(Tx, Tx'), \rho(Tx, TSy) \rho(Tx', TSy')\}\end{aligned}$$

for all x, x' in X and y, y' in Y where $0 \leq c < 1$. If either T or S is continuous then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point v in Y . Further $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

The following theorem was proved by Fisher and Turkoglu [4].

Theorem 1.2: Let (X, d_1) and (Y, d_2) be complete metric spaces. Let S be mapping of X into $B(Y)$ and R be mapping of Y into $B(X)$ satisfying the following inequalities,

$$\delta_1(RSx, RSx') \leq c \max \{d_1(x, x'), \delta_1(x, RSx), \delta_1(x', RSx'), \delta_2(Sx, Sx')\}$$

$$\delta_2(RSy, RSy') \leq c \max \{d_2(y, y'), \delta_2(y, SRy), \delta_2(y', SRy'), \delta_1(Ry, Ry')\}$$

for all x, x' in X and y, y' in Y , where $0 \leq c < 1$. If S is continuous then RS has a unique fixed point u in X and SR has a unique fixed point v in Y .

The aim of our work is to generalize theorem 1.1 by considering two set-valued mappings and two complete metric spaces.

Before coming to our main result we recall the following from Fisher [1, 2].

(i) The function $\delta(A, B)$ with A and B in $B(X)$ is defined by

$$\delta(A, B) = \sup \{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

(ii) If A consists of a single point a we write $\delta(A, B) = \delta(a, B)$

(iii) If B also consists of a single point b we write $\delta(A, B) = \delta(a, b) = d(a, b)$

It follows easily from the definition that $\delta(A, B) = \delta(B, A) \geq 0$,

$$\delta(A, B) \leq \delta(A, C) + \delta(C, B) \text{ for all } A, B \text{ and } C \text{ in } B(X).$$

Now let $\{A_n : n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ be sequence of non-empty subsets of X . We say that the sequence $\{A_n\}$ converges to the subset A of X if

(iv) Each point a in A is the limit of a convergent sequence $\{a_n\}$, where a_n is in A_n for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

(v) For arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an integer N such that $A_n \subset A_\epsilon$ for $n > N$, where A_ϵ is the union of all open spheres with centres in A and radius ϵ . A is then said to be the limit of the sequence $\{A_n\}$.

The following lemma was proved in Fisher [1].

Lemma 1.3: If $\{A_n\}$ and $\{B_n\}$ are sequences of bounded subsets of a complete metric space (X, d) which converge to the bounded subsets of A and B respectively, then the sequence $\{\delta(A_n, B_n)\}$ converges to $\delta(A, B)$.

Now, let F be a point in X if sequence $\{Fx_n\}$ mapping of X in X is a fixed p

Main Results:

We prove the fo

Theorem 2.1: L mapping of X in

$$\delta_1(Sy, Sy) \delta_1 \tag{1}$$

$$\delta_2(Tx, Tx) \delta_2 \tag{2}$$

for all x, x' in X a unique fixed point

Proof: Let x_i be a respectively as following chosen x_n $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Then, $d_1(x$

From which is follow

(3) Applying inequality

$$[d_2(y_{n-1}, y_n) \leq c n \tag{4}$$

from which is follow

Now, let F be a mapping of X into $B(X)$. We say that the mapping F is continuous at a point in X if whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of points in X converging to x , the sequence $\{Fx_n\}$ in $B(X)$ converges to Fx in $B(X)$. We say that F is continuous mapping of X into $B(X)$ if F is continuous at each point x in X . We say that a point z in X is a fixed point of F if z is in Fz . If a is in $B(X)$, we define the set $FA = \bigcup_{a \in A} Fa$.

Main Results:

We prove the following theorems.

Theorem 2.1: Let (X, d_1) and (Y, d_2) be two complete metric spaces, let T be a mapping of X into $B(Y)$ and let S be a mapping of Y into $B(X)$ satisfying inequalities,

$$(1) \quad \delta_1(Sy, Sy) \delta_1(STx, STx') \leq c \max \{ \delta_1(Sy, Sy'), \delta_2(Tx, Tx'), \delta_1(x', Sy) \delta_2(y', Tx), \\ d_1(x, x') \delta_1(Sy, Sy'), \delta_1(Sy', STx) \delta_1(Sy', STx') \}$$

$$(2) \quad \delta_2(Tx, Tx') \delta_2(TSy, TSy') \leq c \max \{ \delta_1(Sy, Sy') \delta_2(Tx, Tx'), \delta_1(x', Sy) \delta_2(y', Tx), \\ d_2(y, y') \delta_2(Tx, Tx'), \delta_2(Tx, TSy) \delta_2(Tx', TSy') \}$$

for all x, x' in X and y, y' in Y where $0 \leq c < 1$. If T is continuous, then ST has a unique fixed point x in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y .

Proof: Let x_1 be an arbitrary point in X . Define sequence $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X and Y respectively as follows. Choose a point y_1 in Tx_1 and a point x_2 in Sy_1 . In general, having chosen x_n in X and y_n in Y , choose x_{n+1} in Sy_n and then y_{n+1} in Tx_{n+1} for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Then,

$$d_1(x_{n-1}, x_n) d_1(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \delta_1(Sy_{n-2}, Sy_{n-1}) \delta_2(STx_{n-1}, STx_n) \\ \leq c \max \{ d_1(x_{n-1}, x_n) d_2(y_{n-1}, y_n), d_1(x_n, x_{n-1}) d_2(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), \\ d_1(x_{n-1}, x_n) d_1(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_1(x_{n-1}, x_n) d_2(x_n, x_{n+1}) \}.$$

From which it follows that

$$(3) \quad d_1(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq c \max \{ d_2(y_{n-1}, y_n), d_1(x_{n-1}, x_n) \}$$

Applying inequality (2), we get

$$[d_2(y_{n-1}, y_n)]^2 = \delta_2(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \delta_2(TSy_{n-2}, TSy_{n-1}) \\ \leq c \max \{ d_2(x_{n-1}, x_n) d_2(y_{n-1}, y_n), d_1(x_n, x_{n-1}) d_2(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), d_2(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}) \\ d_2(y_{n-1}, y_n), d_2(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) d_2(y_{n-1}, y_n) \}$$

from which it follows that $d_2(y_{n-1}, y_n) \leq c \max \{ d_1(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_2(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}) \}$.

It now follows easily by induction that

$$d_1(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq c^n \max \{d_1(x, x_1), d_2(y_1, y_2)\}$$

$$d_2(y_{n-1}, y_n) \leq c^{n-2} \max \{d_1(x_1, x_2), d_2(y, y_1)\}$$

for $v = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $c < 1$, it follows that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences with limits z in X with w in Y .

Applying inequality (1), we have

$$\delta_1(Sw, x_{n+1}) \delta_1(STz, x_{n+1}) = \delta_1(Sw, Sy_n) \delta_1(STz, STx_n)$$

$$\leq c \max \{\delta_1(Sw, x_n) \delta_2(Tz, y_n), \delta_1(x_n, Sw) \delta_2(y_n, Tz), d_1(z, x_n) \delta_1(Sw, x_n),$$

$$\delta_1(Sw, STz) \delta_1(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}$$

Letting n tends to infinity we have

$$\delta_1(Sw, z) \delta_1(STz, z) \leq c \max \{\delta_1(Sw, z) \delta_2(Tz, w),$$

$$\delta_1(z, Sw) \delta_2(w, Tz), d_1(z, z) \delta_1(Sw, z)\}$$

From which it follows that

$$(5) \quad \delta_1(Sw, z) \delta_1(STz, z) \leq c \{\delta_1(Sw, z) \delta_2(Tz, w)\}$$

and so either $Sw = z$ or

$$(6) \quad \delta_1(STz, z) \leq c \delta_2(Tz, w)$$

Applying inequality (2), we have

$$\delta_2(Tz, y_n) \delta_2(TSw, y_{n+1}) = \delta_2(Tz, Tx_n) \delta_2(TSw, TSy_n)$$

$$\leq c \max \{\delta_1(Sw, x_{n+1}) \delta_2(Tz, y_n), \delta_1(z, Sw) \delta_2(w, Tz),$$

$$d_2(w, y_n) \delta_2(Tz, y_n), \delta_2(Tz, TSw) \delta_2(y_n, TSw)\}$$

Letting n tends to infinity we have

$$(7) \quad \delta_2(Tz, w) \delta_2(TSw, w) \leq c \delta_1(Sw, z) \delta_2(Tz, w)$$

and so either $Tz = w$

Theorem 2.3: Let (X, d) and (Y, ρ) be compact metric spaces, let T be a continuous mapping of X into Y and let S be a continuous mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities

$$d(Sy, Sy') d(STx, STx') < \max \{d(Sy, Sy') \rho(Tx, Tx'), d(x', Sy) \rho(y', Tx),$$

$$d(x, x') d(Sy, Sy'), d(Sy, STx) d(Sy', STx')\}$$

$$\rho(Tx, Tx') \rho(TSy, TSy') < \max \{d(Sy, Sy') \rho(Tx, Tx'), d(x', Sy) \rho(y', Tx),$$

$$\rho(y, y') \rho(Tx, Tx'), \rho(Tx, TSy) \rho(Tx', TSy')\}$$

for all x, x'
 unique fixed
 We n

Theorem
 continuous
 satisfying

$$\delta_1(Sy, \dots) \quad (11)$$

$$\delta_2(Tx, \dots) \quad (12)$$

for all x, x'
 unique fixed

Proof: First

$$\delta_1(Sy, \dots)$$

$$(13)$$

for all x in X

$$\delta_1(Sy_n, \dots)$$

$$(14)$$

for $n = 1, 2,$
 suppose that

to w' in Y . I

$$\delta_1(Sy, \dots)$$

$$(15)$$

This is only p
 either

for all x, x' in Y and y, y' in Y . Then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further, $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

We now prove the following fixed point theorem for compact metric spaces.

Theorem 2.4: Let (X, d_1) and (Y, d_2) be two compact metric spaces, let T be a continuous mapping of X into $B(Y)$ and let S be a continuous mapping of Y into $B(X)$ satisfying the inequalities

$$(11) \quad \delta_1(Sy, Sy') \delta_1(STx, STx') < \max \{ \delta_1(Sy, Sy') \delta_2(Tx, Tx'), \delta_1(x', Sy) \delta_2(y', Tx), \\ d_1(x, x') \delta_1(Sy, Sy'), \delta_1(Sy, STx) \delta_1(Sy', STx') \}$$

$$(12) \quad \delta_2(Tx, Tx') \delta_2(TSy, TSy') < \max \{ \delta_1(Sy, Sy') \delta_2(Tx, Tx'), \delta_1(x', Sy) \delta_2(y', Tx), \\ \delta_2(y, y') \delta_2(Tx, Tx'), \delta_2(Tx, TSy) \delta_2(Tx', TSy') \}$$

for all x, x' in X and y, y' in Y . Then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y . Further $Tz = w$ and $Sw = z$.

Proof: Firstly, let us assume that there is no $a < 1$ such that

$$(13) \quad \delta_1(Sy, STSy) \delta_1(STx, STSTx) \leq a \max \{ \delta_1(Sy, STSy) \rho(Tx, TSTx), \\ \delta_1(STx, Sy) \delta_2(TSy, Tx), \delta_1(x, STx) \delta_1(Sy, STSy), \\ \delta_1(Sy, STx) \delta_1(STSy, STSTx) \}$$

for all x in X and y in Y . Then there exist sequences $\{x_n\}$ in X and $\{y_n\}$ in Y such that

$$(14) \quad \delta_1(Sy_n, STSy_n) \delta_1(STx_n, STSTx_n) > (1 - n^{-1}) \max \{ \delta_1(Sy_n, STSy_n) \delta_2(Tx_n, TSTx_n), \\ \delta_1(STx_n, Sy_n) \delta_2(TSy_n, Tx_n), \delta_1(x_n, STx_n) \delta_1(Sy_n, STSy_n), \\ \delta_1(Sy_n, STx_n) \delta_1(STSy_n, STSTx_n) \}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Since X and Y are compact, and by relabelling if necessary, we may suppose that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to z' in X and the sequence $\{y_n\}$ converges to w' in Y . Letting n tends to infinity in inequality (14), it follows that

$$(15) \quad \delta_1(Sw', STSw') \delta_1(STz', STSTz') \geq \max \{ \delta_1(Sw', STSw') \delta_2(Tz', TSTz'), \\ \delta_1(STz', Sw') \delta_2(TSw', Tz'), \delta_1(z', STz') \delta_1(Sw', STSw'), \\ \delta_1(Sw', STz') \delta_1(STSw', STSTz') \}$$

This is only possible if the right hand side of inequalities (15) is zero. It follows that either

$$STz' = STSTz' \text{ or } Sw' = STSw'.$$

If $STz = STSTz$, then $STz = z$ is a fixed point of ST and it follows that $Tz = w$ is a fixed point of TS .

If $Sw = STWw$, then $Sw = z$ is a fixed point of ST and it again follows that $Tz = w$ is a fixed point of TS .

Secondly, let us assume that there exists no $b < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_2(Tx, TSTx) \delta_2(TSy, TSTy) &\leq b \max \{ \delta_1(Sy, STSy) \delta_2(Tx, TSTx), \\ &\delta_1(STx, Sy) \delta_2(TSy, Tx), \delta_2(y, TSy) \delta_2(Tx, TSTx), \\ &\delta_2(Tx, TSy) \delta_2(TSTx, STSTy) \} \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

for all x in X and y in Y . Then it follows that ST has a fixed point z and TS has a fixed point w .

Finally, suppose that there exist $a, b < 1$ satisfying (13) and (16). Then with $c = \max \{a, b\}$, it follows that if the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are defined as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, inequalities (3) and (4) will hold. It then follows as in the proof of theorem 2.1 that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences with limits z in X and w in Y . Since ST and TS are continuous, it now follows that z is a fixed point of ST and w is a fixed point of TS .

To prove the uniqueness, suppose that ST has a second distinct common fixed point z' . Then applying inequality (11) we have

$$[d_1(z, z')]^2 = [\delta_1(STz, STz')]^2 < \max \{d_1(z, z') d_2(Tz, Tz'), [d_1(z, z')]^2\}$$

which implies that

$$d_1(z, z') < \delta_2(Tz, Tz') \tag{17}$$

Further, applying inequalities (12) we have

$$[\delta_2(Tz, Tz')]^2 = \delta_2(Tz, Tz') \delta_2(TSTz, TSTz') < \max \{d_1(z, z') \delta_2(Tz, Tz'), [\delta_2(Tz, Tz')]^2\}$$

which implies that

$$\delta_2(Tz, Tz') < d_1(z, z') \tag{18}$$

It now follows from inequalities (17) and (18) that

$$d_1(z, z') < \delta_2(Tz, Tz') < d_1(z, z')$$

which is a contradiction and hence the fixed point z must be unique.

Similarly, we can prove the uniqueness of w . This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary
mapping ϕ

$\delta(Tx,$

for all $x,$
 T has a u

[1] Fisher
141-1

[2] Fisher,
Math.

[3] Tiwari
and co
(1998),

[4] Fisher,
metric

Corollary 2.5: Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and let T be a continuous mapping of X into $B(X)$ satisfying the inequality

$$\delta(Ty, Ty') \delta(T^2x, T^2x') < \max \{ \delta(Ty, Ty') \delta(Tx, Tx'), \delta(x, Ty) \delta(y, Tx), \\ d(x, x') \delta(Ty, Ty'), \delta(Ty, T^2x) \delta(Ty', T^2x') \}$$

for all x, x', y, y' in X for which the right hand side of the inequality is positive. Then T has a unique fixed point z in X .

REFERENCES

- [1] Fisher, B., Set-valued mappings on metric spaces. *Fund. Math* 112(1981), 141–145.
- [2] Fisher, B., Common fixed points of mappings and set-valued mappings. *Rostock Math. Kolloq.* 18(1981) 69–77.
- [3] Tiwari, Namdeo., Fisher and Tas, Related fixed point theorems on two complete and compact metric spaces. *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.* Vol. 21, No. 3 (1998), 569–564.
- [4] Fisher, B., and Turkoglu, D., Related fixed points for set valued mappings on two metric spaces. *Internat. J. Math and Math. Sci.* Vol. 23, No. 3(2000), 205–210.