sis of JIT

ize the ization matical

liscrete

-model

The Nepali Math. Sc. Report Vol. 29, No. 1 & 2, 2009

# Generalized Fixed Point Theorem in Fuzzy Metric Space

### KANHAIYA JHA

Department of Mathematical Sciences, School of Science, Kathmandu University, P. O. Box No. 6250, Kathmandu, Nepal. E-mail: jhaknh@yahoo.co.in; jhakan@ku.edu.np

Abstract: The main objective of the present paper is to establish a common fixed point theorem for pair of self fuzzy mappings in a fuzzy metric space which generalizes and improves various known results.

AMS Subject Classification: 47 H 10.

Key Words: Fuzzy metric space, Compatible mappings, R-weakly commuting mappings, Reciprocal continuity.

Key Words: Fourier transform, Hilbert Schmidt norm, kernel function.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The concept of fuzzy sets was initiated by Zadeh [14] in 1965. After that, a lot of works have been done regarding fuzzy sets and applications. Deng[3], Erceg [4], Kalva and Seikkala [7] introduced the concepts of fuzzy metric spaces in different ways. In 1975, Kramosil and Michalek [8] introduced the fuzzy metric space by generalizing the concept of probabilistic metric space to fuzzy situation. Grabiec [6] proved the contraction principle in the setting of the fuzzy metric space introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [8]. Grabiec's result was further

generalized by Subrahmanyam [12] for a pair of commuting mappings. Since then, a substantial literature has been developed on this topic. Also, George and Veermani [5] modified the notion of fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continuous t-norm, by generalizing the concept of probabilistic metric space to fuzzy situation. In 1999, Vasuki [13] introduced the concept of R-weak commutativity of mappings in fuzzy metric space and Pan[9] introduced the notion of reciprocal continuity of mappings in metric space and proved some common fixed point theorems. Balasubramaniam et. al. [1] proved a fixed point theorem, which generalizes a result of Pant [9] for fuzzy mappings in fuzzy metric space.

Def

Cau

5422

0001

Defi

200 200

Defit

Comp

anti-

Defin

ALC: CO

Series and

Defini

Defini

HT Call

2005 ( >-

Definit

EL M.

R. such !

Definition

CR. M. .

----

2 3 205

OL M. \*

Carton St.

(marine and

Name and

States of the local division of the local di

Pant and Jha [10] proved a fixed point theorem that gives an analogue of the results by Balasubramaniam et. al. [1] by obtaining a connection between the continuity and reciprocal continuity for four mappings in fuzzy metric space. Recently, Chugh and Kumar [2] proved a common fixed point theorem for four mappings in fuzzy metric space generalizing the result of Vasuki [13]. The present paper is aimed to prove a fixed point theorem assuming the reciprocal continuity of fuzzy mappings in fuzzy metric space that generalizes the results of Chugh and Kuamr [2], Vasuki [13] and improves various other similar results of fixed points. We also give an example to illustrate our main theorem.

We have used the following notions:

Definition 1.1 ([13]) Let X be any set. A fuzzy set A in X is a function with domain X and values in [0, 1].

**Definition 1.2** ([11]) A binary operation  $* : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a continuous t-norms if, ([0, 1], \*) is an abelian topological monoid with unit 1 such that a \* b  $\leq$  c \* d whenever a  $\leq$  c and b  $\leq$  d, for all a, b, c, d in [0, 1].

Examples of t-norms are a \* b = ab,  $a * b = min \{a, b\}$ .

Definition 1.3 ([8]) The triplet (X, M, \*) is called a fuzzy metric space (shortly, a FM-space) if, X is an arbitrary set, \* is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy et on  $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$  satisfying he following conditions: for all x, y, z in X, s, t > 0,

- (i) M(x, y, 0) = 0, M(x, y, t) > 0;
- (ii) M(x, y, t) = 1 for all t > 0 if and only if x = y,
- (iii) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t),
- (iv)  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \le M(x, z, t+s),$
- (v)  $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$  is left continuous for all  $x, y \in X$  and s, t > 0,
- (vi)  $\lim_{t\to\infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ .

[70]

**Definition 1.4** ([6]) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) is called Cauchy sequence if,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$  for every t > 0 and for each p > 0. A fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) is complete if, every Cauchy sequence in X converges in X.

**Definition 1.5** ([6]) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) is said to be convergent to x in X if,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$  for each t > 0.

**Definition 1.6** ([9]) Two self mappings A and S of a metric space (X, d) are called compatible if,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(Asx_n, SAx_n) = 0$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = t$  for some t in X.

**Definition 1.7** ([1]) Two self mappings A and S of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) are called compatible if,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = 1$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = p$  for some p in X.

**Definition 1.8** ([9]) Two self mappings A and S of a metric space (X, d) are called R-weekly commuting at a point x in X if,  $d(ASx, SAx) \leq Rd(Ax, Sx)$ , for R > 0.

**Definition 1.9** ([1]) Two self mappings A and S of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) are called weekly commutating if,  $M(ASx, SAx, t) \ge A(Ax, Sx, t)$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $t \ge 0$ .

**Definition 1.10** ([1]) Two self mappings A and S of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) are called R-weekly commuting provided there exists some real number R such that  $M(ASx, SAx, t) \ge M(Ax, Sx, t/R)$  form some  $x \in X$  and  $t \ge 0$ .

**Definition 1.11** ([1]) Two self mappings A and S of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) are called pointwise R-weakly commuting on X if, given x in (X, M, \*), there exists R > 0 such that  $M(ASx, SAx, t) \ge M(Ax, Sx, t/R)$ .

It is noted that R-weakly commutativity in fuzzy metric space implies weak commutativity only when  $R \le 1$  (Chugh and Kumar [2]).

**Definition 1.12** ([1]) Two self mappings A and S of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) are said to be reciprocally continuous if,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} AS_n = Ap$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} SAx_n = Sp$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = p$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = p$  for some p in X.

Note that in the metric setting if A and S are both continuous then they are obviously reciprocal continuous. But the converse need not be true (Pant [9]).

Since rge and belp of pace to R-weak ced the d some ed point metric

of the space. for four 3]. The ciprocal sults of sults of

called a

hortly, a fuzzy et 0,

#### KANHAIYA JHA

#### 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.1** Let (A, S) and (B, T) be pointwise R-weakly commuting pairs of self mappings of complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) such that

(i)  $AX \subseteq TX, BX \subseteq SX,$ 

(ii)  $M(Ax, By, t) \ge r (M(Sx, Ty, t)),$ 

for all x,  $y \in X$ , where  $r : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous function such that r(t) > t for each 0 < t < 1. If the pair (A, S) or (B, T) is compatible pair of reciprocally continuous mappings, then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof.** Let  $x_0$  be any point in X. We define sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in X given by the rule

 $y_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$  and  $y_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2}$ , for n = 0, 1, 2, 3, .... (1) This can be done by virtue of (i). Then, using (ii), we get

$$\begin{split} M(y_{2n},\,y_{2n+1},\,t) &= M(Ax_{2n},\,Bx_{2n+1},\,t) \\ &\geq r(M(Sx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,t) = r(M(y_{2n-1},\,y_{2n},\,t)) \\ &> M(y_{2n-1},\,y_{2n},\,t), \end{split}$$

since r(t) > t for 0 < t < 1. Similarly, we have  $M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t) > M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)$ . So,  $\{M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)\}$ , for  $n \ge 0$ , is an increasing sequence of positive real numbers in [0, 1] and therefore, tends to a limit  $\alpha \le 1$ . We claim that  $\alpha = 1$ . For this, if  $\alpha < 1$ , then on letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in relation (2), we get  $\alpha \ge r(\alpha) > \alpha$ , a contradiction. Hence, we get  $\alpha = 1$ . Thus, for every  $n \in N$ ,

 $M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) > M(y_{n-1}, y_n, t) \text{ and } M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for } t > 0.$ (3) Now, for any positive integer p, we get

 $\begin{array}{ll} M(y_n,\,y_{n+p},\,t) &\geq M(y_n,\,y_{n+1},\,t/p) \, * \, M(y_{n+1},\,y_{n+2},\,t/p) \, * \, ... \, * \, M(y_{n+p-1},\,y_{n+p},\,t/p) \\ &\geq M(y_n,\,y_{n+1},\,t/p) \, * \, M(y_n,\,y_{n+1},\,t/p) \, * \, ... \, * \, M(y_n,\,y_{n+1},\,t/p) \\ &> 1 \, * \, 1 \, * \, ... \, * \, 1, \, using \, (3). \end{array}$ 

This implies that  $M(y_n, y_{n+p}, t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since X is complete, there exists a point z in X such that  $y_n \rightarrow z$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, we have

 $y_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z \text{ and } y_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2} \rightarrow z.$ 

Suppose A and B are compatible and reciprocally continuous mappings, then by definition, we have  $ASx_{2n} \rightarrow Az$  and  $SAx_{2n} \rightarrow Sz$ . Also, compatibility of A and S yields that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(ASx_{2n}, SAx_{2n}, t) = 1$ , that is, M(Az, Sz, t) = 1. Hence, we

have A using ( since r Thus, v Again. R>0 AAz = implies N That is, introlies cam sho (E). In ( 36 a. a (61) > 1; This co # 2 DOV Examp This per あきゃ 2.  $B_{0} = 21$ SZ=2. 12=2, A. 160. 161 A. B. (1) > 1

i nari

#### GENERALIZED FIXED POINT THEOREM ....

	have $Az = Sz$ . Since $AX \subset TX$ , there exists a point w in X such that $Az = Tw$ . So,
pairs of	using (ii), we get $M(Az, Bw, t) \ge r(M(Sz, Tw, t)) = r(M(Az, Tw, t)) = r(1) = 1$ , since $r(t) = 1$ for $t = 1$ . This implies that $Az = Bw$ .
	Thus, we have $Sz = Az = Tw = Bw$ .
	Again, the pointwise R-weakly commutativity of A and S implies that there exists $R > 0$ such that M(ASx, SAz, t) $\geq$ M(Az, Sz, t/R) = 1. That is, ASz = SAz and
r(t) > t	AAz = ASz = SSz. Similarly, the pointwise R-weakly commutativity of B and T implies that $BBW = BTW = TBw = TTw$ . So that, using (ii), we have
mint.	$M(Az, AAz, t) = M(Bw, AAz, t) \ge r (M(SAz, Tw, t)) > M(AAZ, Az, t).$
given by	That is, $M(Az, AAz, t) = 1$ . Hence, we have $Az = AAz$ and $Az = AAz = SAz$ . This implies that Az is a common fixed point of A and S. Similarly, by using (ii), we
(1)	can show that Bw(= Az) is a common fixed point of B and T. The uniqueness of a common fixed point of the mappings A, B, S and T be easily verified by using (ii). In fact, if u' be another fixed point for mappings A, B, S and T, then, we have
	$M(u, u', t) = M(Au, Bu', t) \ge r(M(Su, Tu', t)) = r(M(u, u', t)) > M(u, u', t), for$
	r(t) > t and hence, we get $u = u'$ .
	This completely establishes the theorem.
$y_{2n+1}, t$ ).	We now give an example to illustrate the above Theorem 2.1.
numbers	Example: Let $X = [2, 20]$ and M be the usual fuzzy metric on $(X, M, *)$ . Define
for this, if	mappings A, B, S and T : $X \rightarrow X$ by
radiction.	A2 = 2, $Ax = 3$ if, $x > 2$ ;
0 (2)	$Bx = 2$ if, $x = 2 \text{ or } > 5$ , $Bx = 6$ if, $2 < x \le 5$ ;
•••• <mark>&gt;</mark> 0. (3)	S2 = 2, $Sx = 6$ if, $x > 2$ ;
	$T2 = 2$ , $Tx = 12$ if, $2 < x \le 5$ , $Tx = x - 5$ if, $x > 5$ .
y <sub>n+p</sub> , t/p)	Also, we define M(Ax, By, t) = $\frac{t}{[t + d(x, y)]}$ , for all x, y in X and for all t > 0. Then,
(°P)	A, B, S and T satisfy all the conditions of the above theorem with
a Cauchy	$r: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $r(t) = t^{1/2}$ for $0 < t < 1$ and $r(t) = 1$ for $t = 1$ . So that, we have
$y_n \rightarrow z$ as	$r(t) > t$ for $0 < t < 1$ . Also, M(Ax, By, t) $\ge r(M(Sx, Ty, t))$ for all x, y in X.
Jn - 7 2 45	Moreover, the pair (A, S) and (B, T) are R-weakly commuting and reciprocally
mand	continuous mappings on X. Thus, all the conditions of the above Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and $x = 2$ is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T.
s, then by	Remarks: As Pant [9] has shown that the reciprocally continuous maps need not
of A and S	be continuous, so this result generalizes the results of Chugh and Kumar [2] and
Hence, we	

[73]

## KANHAIYA JHA

Vasuki [13]. It also improves the results of Balasubramaniam et. al [1], Pant and Jha [10] and other similar results for fixed points.

The Ne

Wat. 29

OI

Schwarp.

STREET, NO

in FPG

and a

in the

Ker We

Party of

- - R.

#### REFERENCES

- P. Balasubramaniam, S. Muralishankar and R. P. Pant, Common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space, J. Fuzzy Math., 10(2) (2002), 379 – 384.
- R. Chugh and S. Kumar, Common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 94(1) (2002), 17 – 22.
- Z. K. Deng, Fuzzy pseudo-metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 86(1982), 74-95.
- M. A. Erceg, Metric spaces in fuzzy set theory, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 69 (1979), 202 - 230.
- A. George and P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64(1994), 395 – 399.
- M. Grabiec, Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 27(1988), 385 – 389.
- O. Kalva and S. Seikkala, On fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 12(3) (1984), 215 – 229.
- O. Kramosil and J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika, 11(1975), 326 – 334.
- R. P. Pant, Common fixed points of four mappings, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 90(1998), 281 – 286.
- R. P. Pant and K. Jha, A remark on common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space, J. Fuzzy Math., 12(2) (2004), 433 – 437.
- B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, Pacific J. Math., 10 (1960), 314 - 334.
- P. V. Subarahmanyam, A common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space, Inform Sci., 83 (1995), 103 – 112.
- R. Vasuki, Common fixed points for R-weakly commuting mappings in fuzzy metric spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 30 (1999), 419 – 423.
- 14. L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform and Control, 89(1965), 338 353.

[74]